# LRSP/VZPA: Policy Review Worksheet

The first step in the development of a Local Road Safety Plan or Vision Zero Action Plan is a review of existing plans and policies pertaining to the jurisdiction that set safety-focused standards and goals. Documents to review include, but are not limited to:

* **Citywide Plans**, including the jurisdiction’s General Plan and Bicycle and/or Pedestrian Master Plans
* **Corridor and Area Plans**, including Complete Streets and Safe Routes to Schools (SR2S) plans and policies
* **Countywide Plans** or regional multimodal transportation or safety plans and policies that govern local planning practices

Review should identify content of value to the LRSP/VZAP development that is present in existing plans, including:

* **Collision data and analysis:** Existing data and analysis can be incorporated into analysis and/or used to cross-reference with new data.
* **Plan and policy review:** This can help identify existing priorities and planning requirements that need to be incorporated into the planning process.
* **Safety improvement prioritization processes:** These can be coupled with collision data and analysis results to inform project selection and prioritization.
* **Safety improvement project lists:** Existing lists can be incorporated into the development of recommendations and used to ensure new safety interventions complement projects that have already been approved.
* **Funding status for proposed projects:** This information is valuable to incorporate into the development of implementation strategies and considerations for the timing of safety interventions.

The following tables provide checklists to aid with the evaluation of existing plans and policies and inventory of existing policies and high-priority projects that should be consulted throughout the planning process.

Existing Planning Document Content Summary

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Document | Includes Project List | Includes Collision Analysis | Includes Prioritization Criteria | Project Funding Status |
| *Example:*Main Street Complete Street Plan  |  |  |  | *Partially funded**(Phase 1 only)* |
| General Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Master Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Master Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Complete Streets Plan/Study |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Existing Policies/Recommendations & High Priority Projects Summary

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Policy/Recommendation  | Description | Applicable Geography | Level of Import | Project List |
| *Example:*Main Street Complete Streets Policy (2019) | Outlines required approach to approach to planning, designing, building, operating, and maintaining streets that enables safe access for all people who need to use them, including pedestrians, bicyclists, motorists and transit riders of all ages and abilities | Citywide; special instructions for school zones, commercial centers | All projects required to comply with policy | N/A |
| *Example:*Safe Routes to School (SR2S Study) (2020) | Summarizes findings from a recent SR2S effort and recommends priority projects for implementation around four local schools | Four schools (list) | Recommended improvements | Interventions on school-adjacent roads and intersections |
| *Example:*Rail-Trail Gap Closure (2022) | Adopted plan to close the rail-trail in two phases: first, via on-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities, second, via a new bridge | Gap from A to B | Adopted and funded project | On-street gap closure (in-progress); Bridge project |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |