

Technical Assistance for Local Planning



Updated FAQs Re: PDA/REAP Grants and Accessing MTC/ABAG's Regional Planning Consulting Bench (Updated on 6/21/21. Supersedes all prior FAQ)

Table of Contents

FAQ RE: REGIONAL EARLY ACTION PLANNING (REAP) GRANTS	3
Q: How much REAP funding has my jurisdiction been awarded?	3
Q: What if the estimate of my non-competitive REAP allocation on my LOI was wrong?	3
Q: When will we get the REAP funds?	3
Q: What do I need to do when I receive my draft grant agreement?	4
FAQ RE: PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREA (PDA) GRANTS	4
Q: How much PDA funding has my jurisdiction been awarded?	4
Q: When will we get the PDA funds?	4
FAQ RE: THE REGIONAL PLANNING BENCH	4
Q: What is the "Regional Planning Bench"?	4
Q: Who is on the "Regional Planning Bench"?	5
Q: How were consultants screened to be on the Regional Planning Bench?	5
Q: If I want to use a consultant with my PDA or REAP funding, do I have to use the Regional Plan Bench?	-
Q: Can I access the Regional Planning Bench for other projects besides those funded by the REA PDA Planning programs?	
Q: Can I work with any consultant on the Regional Planning Benches?	7
Q: Are consultants under any obligation to accept work from jurisdictions that approach them through the Regional Planning Bench?	7
Q: Are there any rules/limitations for work completed through the Regional Planning Bench?	7
FAQ RE: MTC/ABAG ADMINISTRATION OF CONTRACTS	8
Q: I've heard that jurisdictions can choose to have MTC/ABAG administer consulting agreement their behalf, how does that work?	
Q: Do the Regional Planning Bench consultants have an obligation to the local jurisdiction or to ABAG/MTC?	8
Q: If MTC/ABAG is administering the contract, what is the local jurisdiction's role in the negotiat process?	





Q: Are contracts under the Regional Planning Bench limited to the allocated grant funding (i.e., REAP/LEAP funds)? In other words, can you pick a consultant off the Regional Planning Bench a then add your own money to the contract?	ind
Q: What is right for me, a traditional grant agreement or PDA or REAP grants, or letting MTC/Al hold the funds for my jurisdiction to use through the Regional Planning Bench?	
Q: What do you need from me for MTC/ABAG to enter into contract on my behalf?	10
Q: How soon can I access the Regional Planning Bench?	11
Q: How can I find out more info about the Regional Planning Bench?	11
FAQ RE: "ONLINE PLATFORM"	11
Q: What is the "online platform"?	11
Q: When will the "online marketplace" be available and how can I learn to use it?	11
Q: Do I have to use the online platform, or is it optional?	12
Q: Do I need to wait until the online platform is live or can I contract with consultants now?	12
MORE QUESTIONS?	12
Q: You didn't answer my question; how can I reach you?	12





This FAQ sheet applies to grants made by MTC or ABAG to local jurisdictions in the Bay Area under the following programs:

- 1. Regional Early Action Planning ("REAP") Grants
- 2. Priority Development Area ("PDA Planning") Planning Grants
- 3. PDA Technical Assistance ("PDA TA")

If your question is not answered here, you can submit it to <u>HousingTA@BayAreaMetro.gov</u> and we will respond to you directly.

FAQ RE: REGIONAL EARLY ACTION PLANNING (REAP) GRANTS

Q: How much REAP funding has my jurisdiction been awarded?

A: See attached summary below of all local allocations of REAP funding, including Competitive funding and funding to support subregional Planning Collaboratives. (Redline changes regarding Santa Clara County will be presented to the ABAG Administrative Committee in July to ease contract administration.)

Q: What if the estimate of my non-competitive REAP allocation on my LOI was wrong?

A: Don't worry, we will use the correct amount in the grant agreement.

Q: When will we get the REAP funds?

A: It depends on the type(s) of REAP funding requested in your LOI. Payments will be processed in the order that the REAP grant agreements are executed in accordance with the payment schedule set forth therein. *Some payments may be delayed if funds have not been received from the State. It is anticipated that funding will be available in July, but the State's processing time may vary.*

NON-COMPETITVE AWARDS:

- Minimum REAP Allocation: If you <u>only</u> requested \$20,000 in non-competitive REAP funding, these funds will be available upon execution of the grant agreement. It is anticipated that draft grant agreements will go out in July.
- RHNA-Based Supplemental REAP Allocation: If you requested your Minimum REAP Allocation plus a Supplemental RHNA-Based Allocation (available if your jurisdiction received at least 1,000 units in the Draft RHNA Methodology approved by ABAG's Executive Board), it is anticipated that these draft grant agreements will also go out in July. However, these funds will not be available until they are received from the state. It





is anticipated that funding will be available in July, but the state's processing time may vary. Your Minimum REAP Allocation and your RHNA-Based Supplemental Allocation will be addressed in a single grant agreement.

COMPETITVE AWARDS:

• **Competitive REAP Allocations:** It is anticipated that these draft grant agreements will also go out in July. *However, these funds will not be available until they are received from the state. It is anticipated that funding will be available in July, but the state's processing time may vary.* Your Minimum REAP Allocation, your RHNA-Based Supplemental Allocation (if any), and your Competitive REAP Allocation will all be addressed in a single grant agreement.

Q: What do I need to do when I receive my draft grant agreement?

A: The draft grant agreement will be sent via email to the contact person identified in your LOI. It is anticipated that this will happen in July. Please follow the instructions that come with the draft grant agreement closely as they explain how to complete missing information highlighted in the draft agreement and how to create a draft Scope of Work Payment Schedule to be approved by ABAG staff. No further Board approval is needed.

FAQ RE: PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREA (PDA) GRANTS

Q: How much PDA funding has my jurisdiction been awarded?

A: See attached summary below of all local allocations of PDA funding.

Q: When will we get the PDA funds?

A: As soon as a Master Funding Agreement, if not already established, and a Supplement to the Mater Funding Agreement, are executed between the recipient and MTC, the recipient will be able to submit invoices for completed deliverables and receive reimbursement.

FAQ RE: THE REGIONAL PLANNING BENCH

Q: What is the "Regional Planning Bench"?

A: MTC and ABAG issued a Request for Proposals (RFQ) to establish a Regional Planning Bench to serve the REAP, PDA Planning, and PDA TA programs. You can view the RFQ here:

[https://mtc.bonfirehub.com/opportunities/35148]. The Regional Planning Bench provides one option that Bay Area jurisdictions may use to select qualified consultants to provide a wide range of planning





activities on an as-needed basis. MTC and ABAG have qualified 92 planning consultants across one or more of the following service categories:

- 1. **Comprehensive Planning:** develop plans adopted by Local Governments, such as Specific Plans, that encompass comprehensive community goals and public policies, including transportation, land use, housing, utilities, and recreation.
- 2. **Engagement and Outreach**: meaningfully and authentically engage diverse stakeholders in planning and community development processes.
- 3. **Housing Policy and Planning**: develop plans, policies, and programs related to housing production and preservation, and resident protections including but not limited to local Housing Elements.
- 4. **Process Improvements**: assist Local Governments with identifying and implementing improvements to their permitting and approval processes.
- 5. **Transportation Policy and Implementation**: develop and implement transportation policies and planning for infrastructure projects
- 6. **Transportation Program Development and Administration**: develop and administer transportation programs.
- 7. Economic & Real Estate Analysis: model economic and real estate trends on a regional, county, city, or site-specific scale.
- 8. **Environment and Resilience**: develop plans, policies, and tools related to resilience and environmental protection and safety elements.
- 9. Legal Counsel: provide legal advice to Local Governments as it relates to land use, housing, and transportation.
- 10. **Temporary Staffing for Local Governments:** provide temporary in-house staff for Local Governments, typically in the planning, building, or community development department.
- 11. **Grant Writing:** assist Local Governments with completion of federal, state, and other grant applications.

Q: Who is on the "Regional Planning Bench"?

A: See attached list below.

Q: How were consultants screened to be on the Regional Planning Bench?

A: Consultants were evaluated as set forth in the RFQ, which can be found here:

https://mtc.bonfirehub.com/opportunities/35148. Minimum qualifications included, but were not limited to, two years of experience and two projects in the last five years in each Service Category for which they wished to provide qualifications. Desired qualifications included, but were not limited to, familiarity with the unique challenges and opportunities facing the San Francisco Bay Area's diverse communities, and experience working for Bay Area agencies or governments. These qualifications could have been met by collectively evaluating all members of proposing teams. For each proposed Service





Category, responsive Statements of Qualifications were evaluated on the basis of the following evaluation factors, with their relative importance indicated by percentages:

- Proposers total number of years of experience (2 minimum) in Service Category 20%
- Proposers total number of projects (2 minimum) in Service Category 20%
- Hourly rates 10%
- Bay Area experience in Service Category 10%
- Quality and relevance of all projects listed in Service Category 40%

References were provided by proposers but were not checked prior to qualifying consultants to the bench. Governments seeking to procure consultants through use of this bench are responsible for checking references and conducting their own independent due diligence prior to entering into any contracts to determine the qualifications and suitability of any consultant for a particular project.

Q: If I want to use a consultant with my PDA or REAP funding, do I have to use the Regional Planning Bench?

A: No. Using the Regional Planning Bench is an option offered for your convenience for REAP funding and for PDA Planning Grants. You can choose a traditional grant disbursement and do not have to use the Regional Planning Bench unless you are receiving a PDA Technical Assistance ("TA") grant. PDA TA will only be provided through the Regional Planning Bench.

Q: Can I access the Regional Planning Bench for other projects besides those funded by the REAP and PDA Planning programs?

A: It depends on your local procurement rules. Depending on your local rules, you may be allowed to simply select one vendor, or request informal quotes, instead of doing a full RFP because we have already conducted a competitive RFQ to seat the Regional Planning Bench. Tell your lawyers and procurement professionals that the RFQ used by MTC and ABAG to recruit the Regional Planning Bench contains the following language:

"Cooperative Use: The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) ... and the 109 Bay Area jurisdictions in Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties (Local Governments) may utilize this RFQ to obtain all services, at the same terms and conditions included in this procurement and firms' submissions during the period of time that contracts resulting from this procurement are in effect. All terms and conditions of Local Agency contracts will be negotiated between the Consultant and Local Governments and will be subject to the Local Agency's applicable procurement rules, terms and conditions, and form of contract."





The full procurement can be found here: <u>https://mtc.bonfirehub.com/opportunities/35148</u>. Contact <u>ncohen@BayAreaMetro.gov</u> for more information about Cooperative Use.

Q: Can I work with any consultant on the Regional Planning Benches?

A: If your local procurement rules allow any local jurisdiction in the Bay Area can reach out to any member of the Regional Planning Bench to discuss a potential engagement. The consultant and local jurisdiction negotiate directly to establish an acceptable scope of work, price and other terms and conditions. Consultants are free to decline engagements. Please contact your lawyers and procurement professionals before contacting Regional Planning Bench consultants to fully understand how the Cooperative Use provision in the RFQ applies given your local procurement rules. Contact <u>ncohen@BayAreaMetro.gov</u> for more information about Cooperative Use.

Q: Are consultants under any obligation to accept work from jurisdictions that approach them through the Regional Planning Bench?

A: No. It is up to the discretion of the consultant to accept or not accept work. However, if there is a consultant consistently not bidding, we will check in with them. If a procurement gets zero bids, we will work with the local jurisdiction to see if they can benefit from regional TA on the subject, or if other local jurisdictions might be interested in doing a joint procurement to try to attract a consultant to a larger SOW/budget.

Q: Are there any rules/limitations for work completed through the Regional Planning Bench? Here are some, but not all, rules/limitations:

- Consultants can only work in the Service Categories for which they have been approved. For example, you cannot give rezoning work to a firm that only qualified for outreach and engagement.
- Contracts must only be with Primes. You cannot directly contract with a subconsultant unless they are also on the Regional Planning Bench as a Prime. Primes can add new subconsultants if needed for a particular project.
- SOWs must fit within a service category to use the Regional Planning Bench. For example, you cannot use the law firm qualified for land use advise for advice about issuing municipal bonds.
- Each consultant must charge no more than rates (plus the annual escalation rate) quoted in their response to the RFQ. Jurisdictions can negotiate for lower rates.



Technical Assistance for Local Planning



Updated FAQs Re: PDA/REAP Grants and Accessing MTC/ABAG's Regional Planning Consulting Bench (Updated on 6/21/21. Supersedes all prior FAQ)

FAQ RE: MTC/ABAG ADMINISTRATION OF CONTRACTS

Q: I've heard that jurisdictions can choose to have MTC/ABAG administer consulting agreements on their behalf, how does that work?

A: PDA Technical Assistance grants will be administered by MTC on behalf of recipients. Recipients of PDA Planning grants and Recipients of REAP grants will have the option of choosing to either receive a traditional grant disbursement, or to have MTC/ABAG hold the funds and administer consulting agreements on their behalf. MTC/ABAG will work closely with recipients to select an appropriate consultant from the Regional Planning Bench. Recipients will work with consultants to develop and refine scopes and, where needed, will assist MTC/ABAG in choosing between multiple qualified consultants. The Project Manager for the local jurisdiction will develop and sign off on the Consultant's Scope of work and invoices in coordination with the MTC/ABAG PM. See the table below for more information.

Q: Do the Regional Planning Bench consultants have an obligation to the local jurisdiction or to ABAG/MTC?

A: It depends on which entity carries the consultant contract, which you have some choice in depending on the funding source:

- If looking to hire a consultant for work funded by local funds (i.e., LEAP, SB2, other City funds), • you will need to contract with the consultant directly and they will have an obligation to the local jurisdiction.
- If looking to hire a consultant funded by REAP or PDA funds, you have a couple of options:
 - 1. **Traditional Grant Disbursement:** You may request that ABAG/MTC disburse those funds to you, and then you may use those funds to contract directly with the consultant. In this situation the consultant has an obligation to the local jurisdiction. The procurement does allow contracts terms and conditions to be negotiated between the consultant and local jurisdiction and will be subject to the local jurisdiction's applicable procurement rules, terms and conditions, and form of contract.
 - 2. MTC/ABAG Holds Funds to Use Through the Regional Planning Bench: You may request that ABAG/MTC hold your REAP and/or PDA grant award, and we would then use it to contract with a consultant selected by ABAG/MTC in consultation with you for a mutually agreed upon scope of work. The benefit here being that we would carry the administrative burden of invoicing and contract monitoring on your behalf, but the local jurisdiction is responsible for managing the scope of the project. In this case the consultant's obligation with be to ABAG/MTC.





Q: If MTC/ABAG is administering the contract, what is the local jurisdiction's role in the negotiation process?

A: You would be primarily responsible for negotiation of the scope of work, price (not to exceed rates submitted to qualify for the Regional Planning Bench) and other contract provisions directly related to how the work will be done. However, ABAG/MTC as the contracting entity will have final responsibility to approve the contract language to ensure consistency with our funding source and our form of contract will be used.

Q: Are contracts under the Regional Planning Bench limited to the allocated grant funding (i.e., REAP/LEAP funds)? In other words, can you pick a consultant off the Regional Planning Bench and then add your own money to the contract?

A: MTC and ABAG will only administer Regional Planning Bench contracts using PDA or REAP funds. We will not administer local funds. However, if local procurement rules allow, a local jurisdiction can enter into contracts directly with Regional Planning Bench consultants using any source of funding, including SB2, LEAP, REAP or local funds. See discussion of "Cooperative Use" above.

Q: What is right for me, a traditional grant agreement or PDA or REAP grants, or letting MTC/ABAG hold the funds for my jurisdiction to use through the Regional Planning Bench?

	Traditional Grant Disbursement	MTC/ABAG Holds Funds to Use Through the Bench
Funding Sources Grant Agreement between	 REAP Grants PDA Planning Grants Defines eligible use of funds 	 REAP Grants PDA Planning Grants PDA TA Grants Defines eligible use of funds
MTC/ABAG and local jurisdiction*	 Binds locals to terms and conditions of MTC/ABAG and funding source Sets payment schedule and deliverables 	 Binds locals to terms and conditions of MTC/ABAG and funding source Sets forth project request process for: selecting consultant from bench establishing statement of work, price, deliverables
Consulting Contract	If a consultant is retained, the consultant contracts directly with local jurisdiction and is	The consultant contracts with MTC or ABAG. The Project Manager (PM) for the local jurisdiction develops and signs

A: It depends. Below is a summary of how the various grants can be administered.





	responsible for all related	off on a Scope of work in
	administrative work	coordination with the
		MTC/ABAG PM.
Procurement of Consultant	Depends on local rules.	MTC/ABAG procurement policy
	Cooperative use clause of	applies. Local jurisdiction works
	MTC/ABAG's Bench RFQ may	with MTC/ABAG to develop
	satisfy local rules.**	Scope of Work for procurement
		and to select consultant.
Negotiation with Consultant	Responsibility of local	Responsibility of MTC/ABAG in
and Supervision of Work	jurisdiction with oversight by	close coordination with project
	MTC/ABAG.	manager from local jurisdiction
Invoicing	Consultant (if any) directly	Consultant invoices MTC/ABAG,
	invoices local jurisdiction, which	but local jurisdiction approves
	then invoices ABAG or MTC for	invoices before they are paid
	reimbursement.	
Can Local Government transfer	No.	No.
local funds like SB2 or LEAP to		
MTC-ABG to administer?		

* Unincorporated Counties that are eligible to receive REAP grants to support Planning Collaboratives may elect to designate a Fiscal Agent to enter into the Grant Agreement with ABAG for the Planning Collaborative Grant.

** RFQ contains the following language: "Cooperative Use: The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) ... and the 109 Bay Area jurisdictions in Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, and Sonoma counties (Local Governments) may utilize this RFQ to obtain all services, at the same terms and conditions included in this procurement and firms' submissions during the period of time that contracts resulting from this procurement are in effect. All terms and conditions of Local Agency contracts will be negotiated between the Consultant and Local Governments and will be subject to the Local Agency's applicable procurement rules, terms and conditions, and form of contract."

Q: What do you need from me for MTC/ABAG to enter into contract on my behalf?

A: We will need you to determine the parameters of the contracts including the scope, budget, deliverables, and what category you'd like to contract under. Once we have that information, we will work together to determine the most efficient way to procure the work.





Q: How soon can I access the Regional Planning Bench?

A: The Regional Planning Bench is official and available to you right now for direct contracts. You can reach out to any of prime consultants on the Regional Planning Bench right now to discuss potential projects and contract with them directly subject to your own local procurement rules. However, if you would like MTC/ABAG to administer contacts with consultants on the Regional Planning Bench on your behalf, you will have to wait until your grant agreement is negotiated and signed.

Q: How can I find out more info about the Regional Planning Bench?

A: You can contact <u>ncohen@BayAreaMetro.gov</u> for more info on how to contact consultants on the Regional Planning Bench directly, or you can wait until our "online platform" (discussed below) is available this summer. We expect to schedule hands-on training sessions with the roll-out of that platform for local procurement staff this summer.

FAQ RE: "ONLINE PLATFORM"

Q: What is the "online platform"?

A: We are working with City Innovate, Inc. to pilot a new online platform through which local jurisdictions can "shop" the Regional Planning Bench for consultants by viewing their online profiles. The profiles will contain all of the materials submitted by the consultant in response to our RFQ, including their cover letters, qualifications, experience, rates, work samples and more. The profiles will be searchable by name, service category or keyword(s) to help narrow the field of consultants for a particular project.

The platform will also facilitate the back and forth of informal procurements (if your local rules allow). Local jurisdictions can upload a scope of work and budget for a project, invite selected consultants to bid, answer questions, review and accept bids. MTC/ABAG will also be able to use the platform to administer consulting contracts on behalf of local jurisdictions using PDA and REAP funds in collaboration with local staff. You will be able to scope the consultant's work with us and will be responsible for overseeing delivery of their services. We will handle the contracting and invoice payments. Additionally, the platform will facilitate invoice submission, review, and payment.

Q: When will the "online marketplace" be available and how can I learn to use it?

A: The online platform pilot will launch this Summer and is expected to be available through 12/31/23. Use of the platform will require log in credentials and credentials will be limited to one per jurisdiction during the pilot. We anticipate being ready to provide training this summer to staff from any Bay Area jurisdiction with land use authority. We highly encourage staff who will be responsible for contracting





(including procurement professionals and lawyers) to attend the training. If you would like to be invited to the training, please send your request to <u>HousingTA@BayAreaMetro.gov</u>.

Q: Do I have to use the online platform, or is it optional?

A: The platform is completely optional for procurement of any contracts that you will enter into directly with consultants. You will need to use the platform to collaborate with MTC/ABAG on any contracts that you choose to have administered on your behalf. If you elect to receive REAP or PDA funding directly under a traditional grant agreement, then you only need to use the platform to submit your own invoices for payment under your REAP or PDA grant agreements. More information about the platform including all applicable terms and conditions of its use will be contained in your grant agreement. Training will also be provided.

Q: Do I need to wait until the online platform is live or can I contract with consultants now?

A: You may use the list now and run your own procurement, however in this case the jurisdiction will have full responsibility for administering and monitoring the resulting consulting contract. If you elect to receive REAP or PDA funding directly under a traditional grant agreement, you will be required to use the City Innovate tool to submit invoices to us for reimbursement of the expenses under that contract.

We highly recommend waiting until the tool is operational so that we may handle all of the paperwork and payments directly on your behalf. In this scenario, your input will be needed in selecting the consultant and overseeing the execution of the scope of work.

MORE QUESTIONS?

Q: You didn't answer my question; how can I reach you?

A: Submit any additional questions to <u>HousingTA@BayAreaMetro.gov</u> and we will respond to you directly.





Attachment A: Summary of all local allocations of REAP Funding

Jurisdiction	County	RHNA Subregion Suballocatio n(approved 11/19/20)	Planning Collaborative Suballocatio n(approved 11/19/20)		Minimum Suballocatio n(approved 11/19/20)	Supplemental Suballocation (formula ad approved 11/19/20, RHNA units approved 1/20/21)5/2 Comp Suball Recom ons appro 11/1000\$ 38,058\$		Suballocation (formula approved 11/19/20, RHNA units approved		5/20/21 Competitive Suballocation Recommendati ons (\$1M approved on 11/19/20)			TOTALS
Alameda	AC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	38,058	\$	-	\$	58,058		
Alameda County	AC	\$ -	\$ 576,775	\$	20,000	\$	33,495	\$	75,000	\$	705,270		
Albany	AC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	7,919	\$	-	\$	27,919		
American Canyon	NC	\$ -	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Antioch	CCC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	21,439	\$	-	\$	41,439		
Atherton	SMC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Belmont	SMC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	12,688	\$	-	\$	32,688		
Belvedere	MC	\$ -	\$ -	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Benicia	SOL	\$ -	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Berkeley	AC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	63,506	\$	75,000	\$	158,506		
Brentwood	CCC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	10,819	\$	-	\$	30,819		
Brisbane	SMC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	11,288	\$	-	\$	31,288		
Burlingame	SMC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	23,152	\$	-	\$	43,152		
Calistoga	NC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Campbell Cities Association	SCC SCC	\$ - \$ -	\$- \$615,175	\$ \$	20,000	\$	21,162	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	41,162 615, 175		
of Santa Clara County (as designee of Santa Clara County)	300	¢ -	\$ 010,170	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	Ф	015, 175		
Clayton	CCC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Cloverdale	SON	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Colma	SMC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Concord	CCC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	36,061	\$	75,000	\$	131,061		
Contra Costa County	CCC	\$ -	\$ 768,975	\$	20,000	\$	54,343	\$	-	\$	843,318		
Corte Madera	MC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	_	\$	20,000		
Cotati	SON	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	50,000		70,000		
Cupertino	SCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$	20,000		32,613	\$	-	\$	52,613		
Daly City	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$	20,000	\$	34,390	\$	-	\$	54,390		
Danville	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$	20,000	\$	15,930	\$	-	\$	35,930		
Dixon	SOL	\$ -	\$ -	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	20,000		
Dublin	AC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	26,436	\$		\$	46,436		
East Palo Alto	SMC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$	50,000		70,000		
El Cerrito	CCC	\$-	\$-	\$	20,000	\$	9,888	\$	-	\$	29,888		





Emeryville	AC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 12,902	\$ -	\$ 32,902
Fairfax	MC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Fairfield	SOL	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 21,659	\$ -	\$ 41,659
Foster City	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 13,477	\$ -	\$ 33,477
Fremont	AC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 91,677	\$ -	\$ 111,677
Gilroy	SCC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 12,603	\$ -	\$ 32,603
Half Moon Bay	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Hayward	AC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 32,869	\$ -	\$ 52,869
Healdsburg	SON	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Hercules	CCC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Hillsborough	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Lafayette	CCC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 15,027	\$ -	\$ 35,027
Larkspur	MC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ 95,000
Livermore	AC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 32,478	\$ -	\$ 52,478
Los Altos	SCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 13,918	\$ -	\$ 33,918
Los Altos Hills	SCC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Los Gatos	SCC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,167	\$ -	\$ 34,167
Marin County	MC	\$ -	\$ 573,175	\$ 20,000	\$ 25,377	\$ -	\$ 618,552
Martinez	CCC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 9,561	\$ -	\$ 29,561
Menlo Park	SMC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,941	\$ -	\$ 40,941
Mill Valley	MC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Millbrae	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 15,631	\$ -	\$ 35,631
Milpitas	SCC	\$ -	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 47,718	\$ 75,000	\$ 142,718

Jurisdiction	County	RHNA Subregi Suballoca (approve 11/19/20	on ition ed	Sı	Planning ollaborative uballocation (approved 11/19/20)	Minimum Suballocati on (approved 11/19/20)	9 9 1	RHNA-Based Supplemental Suballocation (formula approved 1/19/20, RHNA inits approved 1/20/21)	Re	5/20/21 Competitive Suballocation ecommendatio ns (\$1M approved on 11/19/20)	TOTALS
Monte Sereno	SCC	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 20,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 20,000
Moraga	CCC	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 20,000	\$	7,947	\$	-	\$ 27,947
Morgan Hill	SCC	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 20,000	\$	7,371	\$	-	\$ 27,371
Mountain View	SCC	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 20,000	\$	79,152	\$	-	\$ 99,152
Napa	NC	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 20,000	\$	13,783	\$	-	\$ 33,783
Napa County (& see Napa/ Sonoma Collaborative)	NC	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 20,000	\$	7,201	\$	75,000	\$ 102,201
Napa/Sonoma Collaborative (ABAG to administer funds)	NC & SON	\$	-	\$	615,175	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 615,175





Newark	AC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 13,321	\$ -	\$ 33,321
Novato	MC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	14,856	\$ -	\$ 34,856
Oakland	AC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	186,609	\$ 75,000	\$ 281,609
Oakley	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	7,521	\$ -	\$ 27,521
Orinda	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 9,660	\$ 75,000	\$ 104,660
Pacifica	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 13,449	\$ -	\$ 33,449
Palo Alto	SCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 43,262	\$ -	\$ 63,262
Petaluma	SON	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 13,577	\$ -	\$ 33,577
Piedmont	AC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Pinole	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Pittsburg	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 14,338	\$ -	\$ 34,338
Pleasant Hill	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 12,809	\$ -	\$ 32,809
Pleasanton	AC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 42,401	\$ -	\$ 62,401
Portola Valley	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	 -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Redwood City	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	 32,613	\$ -	\$ 52,613
Richmond	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	25,690	\$ -	\$ 45,690
Rio Vista	SOL	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	-	\$ -	\$ 20,000
Rohnert Park	SON	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 11,231	\$ -	\$ 31,231
Ross	MC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
San Anselmo	MC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000
San Bruno	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	22,498	\$ -	\$ 42,498
San Carlos	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	19,441	\$ -	\$ 39,441
San Francisco	CCSF	\$ -	\$ 150,175	\$ 20,000	 583,362	\$ 75,000	\$ 828,537
San Jose	SCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	442,154	\$ -	\$ 462,154
San Leandro	AC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	 27,403	\$ -	\$ 47,403
San Mateo	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 49,865	\$ -	\$ 69,865
San Mateo	SMC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,138	\$ -	\$ 40,138
County							
San Mateo	SMC	\$ -	\$ 807,375	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 807,375
County							
Department of							
Housing (as							
designee of San							
Mateo County)							
San Pablo	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ 95,000
San Rafael	MC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	22,889	\$ 75,000	\$ 117,889
San Ramon	CCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	36,331	\$ -	\$ 56,331
Santa Clara	SCC	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	 82,684	\$ -	\$ 102,684
Santa Clara	SCC	\$ -		\$ 20,000	22,221	\$ 75,000	\$ 117, 221
County					-		-



Technical Assistance for Local Planning



ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Jurisdiction	County	RHNA Subregion Suballocation (approved 11/19/20)	Planning Collaborative Suballocation (approved 11/19/20)	Minimum Suballocati on (approved 11/19/20)	RHNA-Based Supplemental Suballocation (formula approved 11/19/20, RHNA units approved 1/20/21)	5/20/21 Competitive Suballocation Recommendatio ns (\$1M approved on 11/19/20)	TOTALS
Santa Rosa	SON	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 53,310
Saratoga	SCC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000	· · · · · ·	\$-	\$ 32,170
Sausalito	MC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 20,000
Sebastopol	SON	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 20,000
Solano County	SOL	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000
Solano Transportation Authority (STA) (as designee of Solano County)	SOL	\$ 20,000	\$ 573,175	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 593,175
Sonoma	SON	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000
Sonoma County (& see Napa/ Sonoma Collaborative)	SON	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000	\$ 27,588	\$-	\$ 47,588
South San Francisco	SMC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000	, ., .	\$-	\$ 48,128
St. Helena	NC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 20,000
Suisun City	SOL	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 20,000
Sunnyvale	SCC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000	· · · · · ·	\$-	\$ 105,059
Tiburon	MC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 20,000
Union City	AC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$ -	\$ 39,392
Vacaville	SOL	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 33,236
Vallejo	SOL	\$-	\$ -	\$ 20,000	+ ,	\$-	\$ 40,884
Walnut Creek	CCC	\$-	\$-	\$ 20,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$-	\$ 61,264
Windsor	SON	\$-	\$ -	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 20,000
Woodside	SMC	\$-	\$ -	\$ 20,000		\$-	\$ 20,000
Yountville	NC TOTALS :	\$ - \$ 20,000	\$- \$4,680,000	\$ 20,000 \$ 2,180,000		\$- \$1,000,000	\$ 20,000 \$ 10,880,000





Attachment B: Summary of all local allocations of PDA Funding

County	Jurisdiction	PDA/Project	Award Amount
Alameda	Sanioandro	BayFair TOD Infrastructure Design/Finance	\$150,000
Sonoma		Renewal Enterprise District (Infill affordable housing finance)	\$150,000
Alameda	Hayward	Micro mobility/safety Program	\$75,000
San Mateo	San Mateo	TDM Ordinance (EN7 implementation)	\$150,000
Santa Clara	San Jose	Urban Villages District Parking & Rezoning	\$120,000
		Total:	\$645,000

Technical Assistance Grant Awards

Planning Grant Awards

County	Jurisdiction	PDA	Award Amount
Alameda	Berkeley	San Pablo Avenue	\$750,000
Alameda	Oakland	Multi-PDA: MacArthur Transit Village PDA; North Oakland/Golden Gate*	\$800,000
Alameda	Oakland	Multi-PDA: Eastmont Town Center/International Boulevard; Fruitvale and Dimond Areas; MacArthur Boulevard Corridor*; San Antonio*	\$800,000
Contra Costa	Richmond	Hilltop	\$750,000
Contra Costa	San Pablo	Rumrill Blvd	\$250,000
Marin	Unincorporated Marin County	Urbanized Corridor/Marin City	\$300,000
San Mateo	Burlingame	Broadway Planning Area	\$400,000
San Mateo	South San Francisco	Downtown Station Area	\$500,000
Santa Clara	Cupertino	VTA Cores and Corridors	\$400,000
Santa Clara	Milpitas	Midtown**	\$500,000
Santa Clara	Palo Alto	University Avenue/Downtown	\$800,000
Santa Clara	Santa Clara	Downtown	\$400,000
		TOTAL:	\$6,650,000





Attachment C: List of Regional Planning Bench Firms

SEE NEXT PAGE

Attachment A: 2021 Regional Planning Bench List of Firms and Service Categories

NOTE: When proposals included teams of firms, only the prime consultant is listed below. Many qualifying proposals included teams with subconsultants. Questions regarding specific subconsultants may be directed to staff.

Firm	SBE/ DBE*	1 - Comprehensive Planning	2 - Engagement and Outreach	3 - Housing Policy and Planning	4 - Process Improvements	5 - Transportation Policy and Implementation	6 - Transportation Program Development and Administration	7 - Economic & Real Estate Analysis	8 - Environment and Resilience	9 - Legal Counsel	10 - Temporary Staffing for Local Governments	11 - Grant Writing
4LEAF, Inc.	-	1		1	1						1	
Aaron Welch Planning	SBE, SUBS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1
Acterra: Action for a Healthy Planet	-		1									
AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	SUBS	1		1	1	1	1	1	1			1
Alex San Andres	_	1	1		0			0	0			
Alta Planning + Design, Inc.	_	İ			İ	1	1			İ	1	1
Amira Jackmon	_			0	0	0				0		
Applied Technology Council	_	0	0						1			
ARUP Americas, Inc.	SUBS			1		1	1	1	1			
Ascent Environmental, Inc.	SBE, SUBS	1		1		1			1			
Atkins North America, Inc.	SUBS		1		1	1	1		1			
BAE Urban Economics, Inc.	DBE/SBE			1				1				
Baird + Driskell Community Planning	-	1	1	1	1			1	0			
Bang the Table USA LLC	_		1									
Noelle Bonner (dba Bonner Communications LLC)	SBE		1									

Firm	SBE/ DBE*	1 - Comprehensive Planning	2 - Engagement and Outreach	3 - Housing Policy and Planning	4 - Process Improvements	5 - Transportation Policy and Implementation	6 - Transportation Program Development and Administration	7 - Economic & Real Estate Analysis	8 - Environment and Resilience	9 - Legal Counsel	10 - Temporary Staffing for Local Governments	11 - Grant Writing
Center for Creative Land Recycling	_		1						1			1
CHS Consulting, Inc. (dba CHS Consulting Group)	_					1	1					
Circlepoint, Inc.	_		1									
Civic Edge Consulting	_		1								0	
CivicKnit	_		1			0			0			
CivicMakers, LLC	_		1									
Community Design + Architecture, Inc.	SUBS	1	1			1	1					1
Corey, Canapary & Galanis	SBE, SUBS	0	1			1	1	0				
Craft & Commerce, LLC	_		1									
Dahlin Group, Inc.	SUBS	1										
DIALOG Design LP	SUBS	1										
Diana R. Elrod Consulting	_			1								
DKS Associates	SUBS		1			1						
Economic & Planning Systems, Inc.	SBE							1				
Economic Consultants Oregon LTD (dba ECONorthwest)	SUBS	0		1				1				
EMC Planning Group Inc. (dba EMC Planning Group)	_	1		1					1			
EMC Research, Incorporated			1									

Firm	SBE/ DBE*	1 - Comprehensive Planning	2 - Engagement and Outreach	3 - Housing Policy and Planning	4 - Process Improvements	5 - Transportation Policy and Implementation	6 - Transportation Program Development and Administration	7 - Economic & Real Estate Analysis	8 - Environment and Resilience	9 - Legal Counsel	10 - Temporary Staffing for Local Governments	11 - Grant Writing
Enterprise Community Partners, Inc.	-			1								1
Environmental Science Associates	_	1		1					1			
Evan Brooks Associates, Inc. (dba Evan Brooks Associates)	DBE/SBE		1									1
Fehr & Peers	_	0	1			1	1		1			1
Forsyth Street Advisors	_			1				1				
Frontier Energy, Inc.	_		1			0	0		1	İ		
W. Arthur Gensler, Jr & Associates, Inc. (dba Gensler)	SUBS	1	1									
William R. Gray and Company, Inc. (dba Gray-Bowen-Scott)	DBE/SBE					1	1					1
David S Greensfelder (dba Greensfelder Commercial Real Estate LLC)	SBE							1				
Hassell Design LTD	SUBS	1	1	1		1			1			
Hatch Associates Consultants, Inc.	_					1	0	1				
Houseal Lavigne Associates, LLC	SUBS	1										
HR&A Advisors, Inc.	SUBS		1	1				1				
IBI Group, A California Partnership (dba IBI Group)	_					1	1					
Impact Sciences	DBE/SBE								1			
Iveywood Consulting	_		0									
James F. Lima (dba James Lima Planning + Development)	SUBS			1				1				
Kearns & West, Inc	DBE/SBE		1									

Firm	SBE/ DBE*	1 - Comprehensive Planning	2 - Engagement and Outreach	3 - Housing Policy and Planning	4 - Process Improvements	5 - Transportation Policy and Implementation	6 - Transportation Program Development and Administration	7 - Economic & Real Estate Analysis	8 - Environment and Resilience	9 - Legal Counsel	10 - Temporary Staffing for Local Governments	11 - Grant Writing
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	SUBS		1	1		1	1					1
Kittelson & Associates, Inc.	SUBS	0				1	1					1
Land Econ Group LLC	DBE/SBE							1				
LeSar Development Consultants	-	0	1	1	1			1				
Management Partners, Incorporated	_		0		1			1			1	
Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson, A Professional Corporation	-									1		
METROPOLITAN PLANNING GROUP	-	1	1	1	1				1		1	1
Michael Baker International, Inc.	SUBS	1	1	1				1	1		1	1
Moore Iacofano Goltsman, Inc.	_	1	1	1	1				0		1	
Mintier Harnish, LP	_	1	1	1	1				1			
Mithun, Inc.		1		1					1			
Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Associates, Inc.						1	1					
Next Steps Marketing	SBE/DBE		1									
Opticos Design, Inc.		1	1	1	1							
Page Southerland Page, Inc.		1										
Perkins+Will, Inc.		1	1						1			
PlaceWorks, Inc. (dba PlaceWorks)		1	1	1		1		1	1			
Raimi + Associates, Inc.		1		1					1			
Laura Crescimano (RC Design Collaborative, LLC dba SITELAB urban studio)	SBE	1	1									

Firm	SBE/ DBE*	1 - Comprehensive Planning	2 - Engagement and Outreach	3 - Housing Policy and Planning	4 - Process Improvements	5 - Transportation Policy and Implementation	6 - Transportation Program Development and Administration	7 - Economic & Real Estate Analysis	8 - Environment and Resilience	9 - Legal Counsel	10 - Temporary Staffing for Local Governments	11 - Grant Writing
Redhill Group Inc.	SBE		1			1	1					
Resource Development Associates,			1									1
Inc.		1	1	1	1				1		1	1
Rincon Consultants, Inc.	~~~~	1	1	1	1				1		1	1
RSG, Inc.	SBE							1				
Sam Schwartz Engineering, D.P.C.	SUBS					1	1					
Deborah E. Schechter (dba Schechter Consulting)	SBE		1									
SERA Architects, Inc. (dba SERA Design and Architecture, Inc.)		1				1						
SmithGroup	SUBS	1	1	1		1	1	1	0			0
Sperry Capital Inc.	SBE			0				1	0			
Steer Davies & Gleave Inc.	SUBS					1	1					
Strategic Economics				1				1				
Symbium Corp.					1			0				
SZS Engineering Access Inc.	DBE/SBE				1							
Terner Housing Innovation Labs, Inc.				1				1				
The Concord Group, LLC	SBE							1				
T J K M (dba TJKM Transportation Consultants)	DBE/SBE					1						1
Toole Design Group, LLC	SBE	0	1			1	1					1
Trillium Advisors, LLC				1								
Urban Land Institute			1	1	1	0		1				
Urban Planning Partners	SBE	1	1	1	1				1		1	1

Firm	SBE/ DBE*	1 - Comprehensive Planning	2 - Engagement and Outreach	3 - Housing Policy and Planning	4 - Process Improvements	5 - Transportation Policy and Implementation	6 - Transportation Program Development and Administration	7 - Economic & Real Estate Analysis	8 - Environment and Resilience	9 - Legal Counsel	10 - Temporary Staffing for Local Governments	11 - Grant Writing
UrbanFootprint, Inc.		0		1		0		0	0			
Vila Civil, APC	DBE/SBE											1
Woodsong Assoicates, LLC		1		1	1	1	0	1	0			
Wallace Roberts & Todd, LLC		1							1			
WSP USA Inc.			1			1	1		1			1
	1											
Total Submissions p	per Category	39	47	37	19	33	23	29	32	2	10	21
Total Seated I	per Category	31	44	35	17	28	20	25	24	1	9	20
Total Accepted Prime DBE Firms v Firms th	vs Total DBE nat Proposed	1 of 1	5 of 5	1 of 1	1 of 2	3 of 3	2 of 2	2 of 3	1 of 2	0 of 0	0 of 0	4 of 4
Total Accepted Prime SBE Firms		5 of 7	13 of 13	5 of 6	2 of 3	8 of 8	6 of 6	8 of 10	4 of 6	0 of 0	2 of 2	6 of 6
Total Accepted Primes with DBE/S Total Primes that Proposed with DBI	BE SUBS vs	16 of 17	11 of 11	18 of 18	4 of 4	15 of 15	10 of 11	11 of 11	13 of 15	0 of 0	3 of 3	7 of 8

* This field notes whether the prime consultant is a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE), a Small Business Enterprise (SBE), both (DBE/SBE), or whether at least one of the subconsultants on a team is a DBE or SBE (noted with "SUBS").