DATE: August 30, 2016

RE: Plan Bay Area 2040 DRAFT Preferred Scenario

Dear Colleagues,

The *Plan Bay Area 2040 Draft Preferred Scenario* is now ready for review and MTC and ABAG are seeking the input of local jurisdictions to inform the development of the Final Preferred Scenario slated for adoption in November 2016. As outlined in the attached Introduction, the *Draft Preferred Scenario* builds upon the current Plan Bay Area adopted in 2013 and represents a projected pattern of household and employment growth in the Bay Area through 2040. Combined with the corresponding transportation investment scenario and incorporating additional refinements based, in part, upon local jurisdictional feedback it will form the core of Plan Bay Area 2040 slated for final adoption in Summer, 2017.

For many local communities, the distribution of 2040 employment and household forecasts may be viewed as the most important output of this effort. This draft information is included in Attachment A to the introduction, organized by local jurisdiction and split into PDA and jurisdiction totals. We understand that some adjustments may be necessary as we continue to refine the *Draft Preferred Scenario's* assumptions. Regional Agency Staff are currently working with county-level Planning Director organizations and Congestion Management Agencies to schedule staff-level presentations of the *Plan Bay Area 2040 Draft Preferred Scenario* in each county. Information on the date/time and location of these meetings is available here: http://planbayarea.org/misc/county-planning-directors-meetings.html.

Regional agency staff will also be available during the month of September to meet with local planners from individual jurisdictions at the Bay Area Metro Center in San Francisco, via teleconference, or onsite with local jurisdictions to hear feedback as to where and how the *Draft Preferred Scenario* allocates the region's growth. This dialogue will be informed by model output, as well as local economics, pipeline projects, proposed policies, local plans and current zoning. Requests for jurisdictional meetings should be directed to Megan Espiritu, mespiritu@mtc.ca.gov. Any written comments on the *Draft Preferred Scenario* should be submitted no later than October 14, 2016. In response to this upcoming cycle of feedback, MTC and ABAG will make adjustments as appropriate during the month of September and October, with the goal of the MTC Commission and ABAG Executive Board adopting the Final Preferred Scenario on November 17, 2016.

Please do not hesitate to contact Ken Kirkey kkirkey@mtc.ca.gov or Miriam Chion miriamc@abag.ca.gov with any questions or comments. We greatly appreciate your involvement and input in the development of Plan Bay Area 2040.

Best Regards,

Steve Heminger
MTC, Executive Director

Ezra Rapport
ABAG, Executive Director

Introduction to the Draft Preferred Scenario for Plan Bay Area 2040

Welcome to *Plan Bay Area 2040's Draft Preferred Scenario*. This vision for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area builds on the groundbreaking *Plan Bay Area*, adopted by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) and the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) in 2013 after extensive analysis and outreach. Plan Bay Area 2040 continues to be guided by Senate Bill 375, requiring California's metropolitan areas to adopt an integrated long range regional transportation plan (RTP) and sustainable communities strategy (SCS) — a roadmap to reduce per-capita greenhouse gas emissions and house the region's population at all income levels.

Plan Bay Area 2040's *Draft Preferred Scenario* largely reflects the foundation established by its predecessor. The Plan creates a blueprint for providing sufficient housing for current residents and newcomers alike, at all income levels. It focuses development toward Priority Development Areas (PDAs) — neighborhoods that are close to public transit and identified by local jurisdictions as being appropriate for smart, compact development. Lastly, it confines growth to established communities, and protects the Bay Area's legacy of vast and varied open spaces.

What is the Draft Preferred Scenario?

The Draft Preferred Scenario represents a projected regional pattern of household and employment growth in 2040. Together with the corresponding transportation investment strategy, it forms the core of Plan Bay Area 2040. The Preferred Scenario and transportation investment strategy are evaluated against a set of regionally-adopted performance targets to measure how well the Plan addresses regional goals including climate protection, transportation system effectiveness, economic vitality, and equitable access. Only two targets are mandatory for the region to achieve under Senate Bill 375 – Climate Protection and Adequate Housing. The remaining 11 targets are voluntary, but provide a useful reference point for policymakers and the public to consider.

For many local jurisdictions, the distribution of 2040 employment and household forecasts may be viewed as the most important output of this effort. This draft information is included in Attachment A, organized by local jurisdiction, and split into PDA totals. These numbers stem from distributing ABAG's economic and demographic forecasts through use of an advanced regional land use model. The land use model, UrbanSim, went through an iterative set of adjustments in response to expert reviews, public input, and dialogue with local officials. ABAG regional planners developed a set of targets informed by local dialogue against which the model output could be evaluated.

Simply put, the most fundamental challenge faced by MTC and ABAG when developing these forecasts is to create a Plan that supports local plans while accommodating the region's total forecasted growth and meeting the state mandated sustainability goals. Thus, the Draft Preferred Scenario must assess potential opportunities for new housing and jobs while reflecting local aspirations and numerous local, regional, and state public policy decisions that affect growth and protect our natural areas.

The Draft Preferred Scenario does not mandate any changes to local zoning rules, general plans or processes for reviewing projects, nor is it an enforceable direct or indirect cap on development locations or targets in the region. As is the case across California, the Bay Area's cities, towns

and counties maintain control of all decisions to adopt plans and permit or deny development projects. Plan Bay Area 2040 also does not establish new state-mandated Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) numbers for each jurisdiction. RHNA operates on an eight-year cycle, with the next iteration not due until the 2021 RTP/SCS. Because RHNA numbers are not at stake this cycle, this update to the region's long-range plan has been characterized as **limited and focused**.

What's new and different?

The Bay Area economy has exploded over the past four years, attracting thousands of new people and jobs. Regional growth forecasts have been revised upward as a result. ABAG forecasts an additional 1.3 million jobs and 2.4 million people and therefore the need for approximately 820,000 housing units between 2010 and 2040. This represents an increase of 15 percent in the projected employment growth and a 25 percent increase in projected household growth, relative to the last Plan.

The economic surge has been both a blessing and a challenge, offering employment opportunities unseen since the Bay Area's dot-com boom, while also clogging freeways and public transit, and triggering an unprecedented housing squeeze, particularly for lower and moderate income workers, many of whom have been displaced or are at risk for displacement. Moving forward, some cities will welcome new residents and housing with open arms, seeing the opportunity to revitalize depressed areas, or to make better use of prime land around transit nodes. For other communities, accommodating future growth may be an acute challenge, practically and/or politically. The Draft Preferred Scenario recognizes the diversity of the region's communities, and that there is no "one size fits all" in terms of the type of future development desired by our residents.

To address the challenges of planning for an increasingly complex region, MTC and ABAG have continued to evolve technical methods for creating regional scenarios. UrbanSim incorporates current zoning for 2 million individual land parcels across the Bay Area, as well as available information about current regional and local economic and real estate market trends. UrbanSim is an ambitious project which compiles a large amount of data at a very detailed geographic resolution. The detailed level of UrbanSim output is used for the analysis of performance measures.

UrbanSim builds upon the methodology used by the Agencies in the prior Plan. The prior methodology combined a land use allocation process based on observed historic growth patterns with jurisdictional expectations described in local plans. This time, UrbanSim also incorporates zoning tools, the most recent PDA assessment, and household, business, and developer choice models. The agencies ran the model hundreds of times, testing the effects that different regional strategies could have on affecting the distribution of housing and employment growth. The output was measured against a set of growth targets put together by ABAG regional planners working with planners from local jurisdictions. Overall, the growth allocation results of the UrbanSim model align fairly closely with these growth targets at a summary level as well as for most localities, though, there are substantial differences for some individual localities. The extent of the differences between local plans and the UrbanSim output is a discussion for the agencies, regional stakeholders, and individual jurisdictions.

The *Draft Preferred Scenario* accommodates 100 percent of the needed housing units, and offers a rationale that these units can be built given future market conditions and existing or expected policies to support focused growth at the local, regional or state level.

How did we get here?

In May 2016, MTC and ABAG released three alternative land use and transportation scenarios illustrating the effects that different housing, land use, and transportation strategies would have on the adopted goals and performance targets. The three scenarios represented a progression of plausible regional futures, from more intense housing and employment growth in the urban core — called the "Big Cities Scenario"; to more evenly apportioned development among PDAs in medium-sized cities with access to rail services — labeled the "Connected Neighborhoods Scenario"; to a more dispersed development pattern, with more relative growth occurring outside of PDAs — known as the "Main Streets Scenario."

The release of the scenarios initiated a public process in May and June 2016 to garner input from the public, stakeholders, community groups, and local officials, via public open houses in each county, an online comment forum as well as an online interactive quiz (the "Build a Better Bay Area" website). By July, MTC and ABAG had received comments from more than 1,100 residents. During this time period, the agencies received direct feedback from the local jurisdictions on the scenarios.

Additionally, the results of a 2015 PDA Assessment have also directly informed our confidence in the Draft Preferred Scenario. This assessment examined 65 of the nearly 200 locally identified PDAs. The analysis evaluated the likelihood of housing actually being built in each PDA, by examining local planning and permitting processes; community support for development; market forces, including the attractiveness of the area to investors, developers and builders; the capacity of water and sewer systems and other infrastructure; and the availability of financing. The PDA Assessment was a reality check. It found that under existing conditions — meaning with current zoning laws, policies and market conditions — only about 70 percent of housing allocated to PDAs in *Plan Bay Area 2013* would get built with these results being boosted to nearly 90 percent with a range of fairly aggressive policy and investment strategies. The results of the *Draft Preferred Scenario* align with the results of the PDA Assessment, providing added confidence in the regional forecast's consideration of both market conditions and local policy.

Strategies included in the Preferred Scenario

Beyond built-in assumptions on local planning and market conditions, the Draft Preferred Scenario also works to incorporate a number of regional land use strategies, which can affect land use patterns by changing a community's capacity for new development or incentivizing a particular type or location of growth. This combination of strategies is necessary to create a *Draft Preferred Scenario* that can achieve or move toward the region's adopted targets.

The land use strategies incorporated in the Draft Preferred Scenario include the following:

- Current urban growth boundaries are kept in place.
- Inclusionary zoning was applied to all cities with PDAs, meaning that these jurisdictions are assumed to allow below-market-rate or subsidized multi-family housing developments.

- All for-profit housing developments are assumed to make at least 10 percent of the units available to low-income residents, in perpetuity (via deed restrictions).
- In some cases, PDAs were assigned higher densities in the future than are currently allowed.
- The cost of building in PDAs and/or Transit Priority Areas (TPAs) is assumed to be reduced by the easing of residential parking minimums and streamlining environmental clearance.
- Subsidies are assumed to stimulate housing and commercial developments within PDAs.

These measures are not prescriptive— again, there are many potential public policy options that could help the region attain its adopted targets. Rather, these strategies should be considered as illustrations of what it would take to keep the Bay Area an economically vibrant, diverse and sustainable region in the year 2040.

Moving Forward

Although the levels of new housing and jobs may appear daunting, the challenge becomes much more achievable when viewed through the long-range lens of a 25-year plan. For instance, a medium-sized city of 50,000 residents slated to absorb 1,000 more new housing units by 2040 than previously anticipated would in actuality need to only add 40 units a year to meet the target. That yearly figure could be reached by adding two 10-unit apartment buildings (or one 20-unit building) per year, and creating another 20 accessory dwelling units associated with single-family homes each year. In other words, in nearly all cases, jurisdictions should be able to absorb their housing allotments while fully retaining the character of their communities.

It is important to keep in mind that the process of refining the Bay Area's ideal development pattern is nearly continuous to stay synced with the four-year mandated update cycles— we will revisit all the assumptions in the adopted Preferred Scenario as we launch the next update to Plan Bay Area. We learn more with each cycle, and are able to take those lessons and apply them to the forecasting and modeling as well as our public outreach methods for the next cycle.

Such assurances aside, regional planners and policymakers understand that some adjustments may be necessary as we continue to refine the *Draft Preferred Scenario's* assumptions. To this end, a careful balancing act regarding future growth patterns is as much an art as a science, and we look forward to working with local planners and policymakers, stakeholders and members of the public in the coming weeks to advance our mutual understanding of the development climate and capacity in various jurisdictions, and to refine and improve this *Draft Preferred Scenario*.

Attachment A: Distribution of 2040 Household and Employment Forecasts

Attachment A: Distribution of 2040 Household and Employment Forecasts

Plan Bay Area 2040 *Draft Preferred Scenario*

County	Jurisdiction	Summary Level	Households 2010	Household Forecast 2040	Employment 2010	Employment Forecast 2040
Alameda	Alameda	**Total**	**30,100**	**41,700**	**29,200**	**39,600**
		PDA	1,850	6,000	6,900	15,200
	Albany	**Total**	**7,350**	**7,850**	**4,400**	**5,600**
		PDA	300	550	2,100	2,450
	Berkeley	**Total**	**46,500**	**55,700**	**90,300**	**139,400**
		PDA	6,700	13,300	28,500	42,000
	Dublin	**Total**	**14,900**	**23,300**	**18,100**	**31,400**
		PDA	3,100	8,500	5,000	14,000
	Emeryville	**Total**	**5,600**	**14,300**	**15,850**	**20,550**
		PDA	2,400	10,500	13,500	16,850
	Fremont	**Total**	**70,000**	**89,900**	**86,200**	**114,500**
		PDA	23,000	41,200	38,200	46,000
	Hayward	**Total**	**45,100**	**53,200**	**60,900**	**92,400**
		PDA	4,350	8,600	7,600	10,300
	Livermore	**Total**	**28,600**	**30,900**	**42,600**	**48,800**
		PDA	850	2,100	23,800	27,750
	Newark	**Total**	**12,900**	**15,450**	**17,300**	**25,600**
		PDA	200	2,150	200	450
	Oakland	**Total**	**157,200**	**235,000**	**179,100**	**257,500**
		PDA	115,500	190,500	158,200	229,400
	Piedmont	**Total**	**3,800**	**3,850**	**1,800**	**1,750**
	Pleasanton	**Total**	**24,700**	**34,600**	**60,100**	**69,900**
		PDA	1,300	8,000	12,500	19,600
	San Leandro	**Total**	**30,800**	**38,500**	**49,700**	**66,800**
		PDA	4,700	11,700	9,750	11,000
	Union City	**Total**	**20,300**	**24,200**	**21,000**	**30,700**
		PDA	500	3,450	250	250
	Alameda County	**Total**	**50,000**	**56,300**	**28,850**	**33,700**
	Unincorporated	PDA	10,450	12,850	6,850	8,850
	County Total	**Total**	**548,000**	**724,700**	**705,500**	**978,300**
		PDA	175,100	319,300	313,400	444,000

County	Jurisdiction	Summary Level	Households 2010	Household Forecast 2040	Employment 2010	Employment Forecast 2040
Contra Costa	Antioch	**Total**	**32,400**	**41,900**	**20,200**	**25,400**
		PDA	1,400	5,200	2,050	2,300
	Brentwood	**Total**	**16,800**	**29,700**	**11,600**	**12,150**
	Clayton	Total	3,950	4,050	2,000	2,100
	Concord	**Total**	**45,000**	**66,000**	**54,200**	**95,200**
		PDA	4,000	22,200	10,200	41,400
	Danville	**Total**	**15,300**	**16,550**	**11,800**	**12,450**
		PDA	1,350	2,000	6,300	6,600
	El Cerrito	**Total**	**10,300**	**11,950**	**5,300**	**5,750**
		PDA	750	2,000	3,800	4,550
	Hercules	**Total**	**8,300**	**10,600**	**4,850**	**6,050**
		PDA	900	2,650	1,150	1,500
	Lafayette	**Total**	**9,200**	**10,750**	**9,050**	**9,650**
		PDA	1,700	2,700	6,650	7,250
	Martinez	**Total**	**14,250**	**15,450**	**20,800**	**26,200**
		PDA	700	850	6,800	9,650
	Moraga	**Total**	**5,600**	**5,750**	**4,500**	**5,800**
		PDA	30	40	1,400	1,650
	Oakley	**Total**	**10,600**	**16,700**	**3,350**	**6,050**
		PDA	800	6,400	1,550	4,050
	Orinda	**Total**	**6,500**	**7,050**	**4,850**	**5,150**
		PDA	250	550	2,650	2,800
	Pinole	**Total**	**6,550**	**7,300**	**6,850**	**9,000**
		PDA	350	950	5,250	6,950
	Pittsburg	**Total**	**19,400**	**27,400**	**11,800**	**16,400**
		PDA	5,150	8,900	4,600	6,100
	Pleasant Hill	**Total**	**13,500**	**14,000**	**16,300**	**19,600**
		PDA	850	950	5,750	7,100
	Richmond	**Total**	**36,700**	**56,500**	**30,800**	**63,500**
		PDA	8,600	22,300	13,400	37,000
	San Pablo	**Total**	**8,950**	**9,600**	**7,400**	**10,000**
		PDA	2,000	2,350	4,850	6,700
	San Ramon	**Total**	**24,400**	**31,100**	**47,900**	**46,100**
		PDA	200	5,800	25,650	22,400
	Walnut Creek	**Total**	**30,400**	**38,200**	**51,050**	**54,550**
		PDA	4,950	9,550	27,400	29,500
	Contra Costa County Unincorporated	**Total**	**57,800**	**70,700**	**0**	**0**
		PDA	4,400	16,100	0	0
	County Total	**Total**	**375,900**	**491,200**	**360,200**	**472,700**
		PDA	38,300	111,500	138,200	209,400

County	Jurisdiction	Summary Level	Households 2010	Household Forecast 2040	Employment 2010	Employment Forecast 2040
Marin	Belvedere	**Total**	**900**	**1,000**	**300**	**300**
	Corte Madera	**Total**	**3,900**	**4,350**	**6,650**	**7,450**
	Fairfax	**Total**	**3,400**	**3,550**	**1,550**	**1,700**
	Larkspur	**Total**	**5,850**	**6,300**	**7,450**	**8,800**
	Mill Valley	**Total**	**5,900**	**8,150**	**6,000**	**6,600**
	Novato	**Total**	**20,150**	**21,350**	**26,400**	**29,500**
	Ross	**Total**	**800**	**900**	**350**	**400**
	San Anselmo	**Total**	**5,200**	**5,450**	**3,300**	**3,650**
	San Rafael	**Total**	**22,550**	**25,950**	**43,300**	**49,100**
		PDA	1,650	2,750	9,000	10,100
	Sausalito	**Total**	**4,150**	**4,500**	**5,200**	**5,800**
	Tiburon	**Total**	**3,600**	**3,850**	**2,850**	**2,900**
	Marin County Unincorporated	**Total**	**27,450**	**30,600**	**17,500**	**21,350**
		PDA	1,500	2,050	650	750
	County Total	**Total**	**103,900**	**115,900**	**120,800**	**137,600**
		PDA	3,150	4,800	9,650	10,850
Napa	American Canyon	**Total**	**5,400**	**7,000**	**5,450**	**8,150**
		PDA	400	1,500	1,350	1,700
	Calistoga	**Total**	**2,050**	**2,400**	**2,200**	**2,650**
	Napa	**Total**	**28,100**	**30,250**	**34,000**	**36,500**
		PDA	350	1,200	5,300	6,300
	St. Helena	**Total**	**2,400**	**3,000**	**5,700**	**5,650**
	Yountville	**Total**	**1,100**	**1,200**	**2,750**	**2,750**
	Napa County Unincorporated	**Total**	**10,200**	**11,850**	**20,550**	**23,250**
	County Total	**Total**	**49,200**	**55,700**	**70,700**	**79,000**
		PDA	800	2,700	6,600	8,050
San Francisco	San Francisco	**Total**	**347,100**	**475,500**	**576,900**	**887,800**
		PDA	184,000	302,300	473,800	765,000

County	Jurisdiction	Summary Level	Households 2010	Household Forecast 2040	Employment 2010	Employment Forecast 2040
San Mateo	Atherton	**Total**	**2,350**	**2,500**	**2,150**	**2,300**
	Belmont	**Total**	**8,800**	**9,600**	**7,900**	**10,000**
		PDA	2,500	2,850	3,500	4,450
	Brisbane	**Total**	**1,800**	**6,300**	**5,200**	**17,600**
		PDA	0	4,400	0	10,900
	Burlingame	**Total**	**12,250**	**13,800**	**28,000**	**38,300**
		PDA	6,950	8,300	11,500	15,700
	Colma	**Total**	**850**	**1,250**	**3,950**	**4,900**
		PDA	700	1,050	1,450	1,950
	Daly City	**Total**	**30,700**	**37,000**	**18,400**	**23,150**
		PDA	8,500	13,500	4,650	5,800
	East Palo Alto	**Total**	**6,950**	**9,950**	**5,100**	**7,000**
		PDA	800	2,200	950	1,750
	Foster City	**Total**	**11,900**	**14,250**	**15,800**	**21,800**
	Half Moon Bay	**Total**	**4,200**	**4,700**	**4,900**	**5,200**
	Hillsborough	**Total**	**3,750**	**3,950**	**2,100**	**2,300**
	Menlo Park	**Total**	**12,300**	**17,800**	**34,600**	**45,000**
		PDA	200	1,050	6,200	7,950
	Millbrae	**Total**	**7,950**	**11,000**	**5,900**	**12,900**
		PDA	600	3,350	2,800	9,100
	Pacifica	**Total**	**13,900**	**14,300**	**5,950**	**7,300**
	Portola Valley	**Total**	**1,700**	**1,750**	**2,700**	**3,000**
	Redwood City	**Total**	**27,800**	**36,000**	**59,200**	**85,000**
		PDA	600	6,700	20,700	27,600
	San Bruno	**Total**	**14,600**	**18,300**	**12,900**	**15,350**
		PDA	3,700	6,750	9,300	11,300
	San Carlos	**Total**	**13,200**	**13,700**	**16,300**	**21,700**
		PDA	50	100	1,200	1,650
	San Mateo	**Total**	**37,900**	**49,200**	**51,000**	**67,600**
		PDA	11,200	19,200	25,300	34,000
	South San Francisco	**Total**	**20,450**	**23,450**	**38,800**	**55,400**
		PDA	5,300	7,650	8,250	11,350
	Woodside	**Total**	**2,050**	**2,500**	**1,950**	**2,150**
	San Mateo County Unincorporated	**Total**	**21,400**	**24,500**	**20,600**	**27,500**
		PDA	2,400	2,950	3,200	4,100
	County Total	**Total**	**256,900**	**315,800**	**343,300**	**475,300**
		PDA	43,500	80,100	99,000	147,600

County	Jurisdiction	Summary Level	Households 2010	Household Forecast 2040	Employment 2010	Employment Forecast 2040
Santa Clara	Campbell	**Total**	**16,550**	**18,950**	**25,200**	**31,800**
		PDA	600	1,650	5,250	6,950
	Cupertino	**Total**	**20,900**	**24,450**	**26,800**	**53,100**
		PDA	2,250	4,900	9,800	13,950
	Gilroy	**Total**	**14,000**	**19,600**	**17,850**	**20,800**
		PDA	1,400	3,350	4,500	5,300
	Los Altos	**Total**	**10,500**	**12,000**	**14,050**	**16,750**
		PDA	0	200	2,200	2,650
	Los Altos Hills	**Total**	**2,850**	**3,050**	**1,550**	**1,750**
	Los Gatos	**Total**	**11,900**	**12,400**	**19,000**	**21,250**
	Milpitas	**Total**	**19,000**	**30,800**	**42,000**	**56,400**
		PDA	800	8,800	5,700	9,900
	Monte Sereno	**Total**	**1,250**	**1,350**	**550**	**550**
	Morgan Hill	**Total**	**12,550**	**15,500**	**19,250**	**20,700**
		PDA	250	900	1,550	1,400
	Mountain View	**Total**	**31,800**	**58,500**	**48,500**	**69,600**
		PDA	5,800	29,300	25,200	39,000
	Palo Alto	**Total**	**26,550**	**29,150**	**102,000**	**123,200**
		PDA	500	950	3,850	4,800
	San Jose	**Total**	**297,700**	**440,600**	**387,700**	**502,600**
		PDA	67,200	201,700	229,200	299,400
	Santa Clara	**Total**	**42,100**	**54,900**	**102,900**	**189,100**
		PDA	300	6,200	10,200	13,100
	Saratoga	**Total**	**10,650**	**11,000**	**8,750**	**9,500**
	Sunnyvale	**Total**	**52,600**	**80,700**	**65,800**	**116,000**
		PDA	6,200	32,000	21,900	29,000
	Santa Clara County Unincorporated	**Total**	**26,100**	**33,600**	**29,500**	**36,500**
	County Total	**Total**	**597,100**	**846,600**	**911,500**	**1,269,700**
		PDA	85,300	289,800	319,200	425,500

County	Jurisdiction	Summary Level	Households 2010	Household Forecast 2040	Employment 2010	Employment Forecast 2040
Solano	Benicia	**Total**	**10,700**	**11,800**	**12,900**	**18,600**
		PDA	600	900	2,050	2,050
	Dixon	**Total**	**5,850**	**6,950**	**4,850**	**6,100**
		PDA	450	550	300	350
	Fairfield	**Total**	**34,200**	**38,700**	**43,100**	**51,600**
		PDA	2,300	5,000	6,450	7,100
	Rio Vista	**Total**	**3,700**	**10,400**	**2,350**	**2,450**
	Suisun City	**Total**	**9,000**	**9,650**	**2,500**	**3,000**
		PDA	1,100	1,550	1,100	1,300
	Vacaville	**Total**	**31,000**	**33,050**	**29,300**	**35,000**
		PDA	850	2,250	4,900	4,950
	Vallejo	**Total**	**40,950**	**45,050**	**30,900**	**35,300**
		PDA	400	1,150	2,600	3,050
	Solano County Unincorporated	**Total**	**6,900**	**14,700**	**4,250**	**4,400**
	County Total	**Total**	**142,300**	**170,300**	**130,200**	**156,500**
		PDA	5,700	11,400	17,350	18,800
Sonoma	Cloverdale	**Total**	**3,250**	**5,250**	**1,750**	**1,600**
		PDA	800	2,850	550	500
	Cotati	**Total**	**3,050**	**3,550**	**2,700**	**3,000**
		PDA	350	700	700	700
	Healdsburg	**Total**	**4,400**	**4,700**	**8,400**	**9,900**
	Petaluma	**Total**	**21,800**	**27,100**	**30,000**	**35,700**
		PDA	500	4,450	3,500	4,050
	Rohnert Park	**Total**	**15,000**	**21,100**	**12,050**	**13,350**
		PDA	1,300	5,300	4,250	4,900
	Santa Rosa	**Total**	**63,800**	**78,800**	**76,400**	**91,700**
		PDA	16,800	30,300	41,100	48,600
	Sebastopol	**Total**	**3,300**	**5,000**	**5,000**	**5,050**
		PDA	2,050	3,750	4,650	4,650
	Sonoma	**Total**	**4,900**	**6,250**	**7,150**	**8,050**
	Windsor	**Total**	**9,050**	**10,550**	**7,600**	**9,200**
		PDA	1,100	2,300	900	1,200
	Sonoma County Unincorporated	**Total**	**58,300**	**68,600**	**51,700**	**63,900**
	County Total	**Total**	**186,800**	**231,000**	**202,700**	**241,400**
		PDA	23,000	49,700	55,800	64,600

Regional Total	**Total**	**2,607,000**	**3,427,000**	**3,422,000**	**4,698,000**
	PDA	559,000	1,172,000	1,433,000	2,094,000