

ASSOCIATION PUBLICLY OWNED OF BAY AREA ENERGY GOVERNMENTS RESOURCES

Bay Area Metro Center 375 Beale Street, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94105 415.820.7900 www.abag.ca.gov

Angela Walton, Chair City of Richmond

Doug Williams, Vice Chair City of Santa Rosa

> Andre Duurvoort City of Cupertino

> **Diana Oyler** Contra Costa County

Chris Schroeder City of Milpitas

CALL AND NOTICE OF SPECIAL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Thursday, July 21, 2022, 11:00 a.m.

Bay Area Metro Center 375 Beale Street San Francisco, CA 94105 REMOTE

The ABAG POWER Executive Committee is scheduled to meet on Thursday, July 21, 2022, at 11:00 a.m. in the Bay Area Metro Center (Remotely). In light of Governor Newsom's State of Emergency declaration regarding COVID-19 and in accordance with Assembly Bill 361 allowing remote meetings, this meeting will be accessible via webcast, teleconference, and Zoom for all participants.

The meeting webcast will be available at https://abag.ca.gov/meetings.

Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via Zoom at the following link or phone number.

Attendee Link: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/j/84096088718

iPhone One-tap: US: +13462487799,,81312282042# or +12532158782,,81312282042#
Join by Telephone: (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
US: +1 346 248 7799 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 408 638 0968 or +1 669 900 6833 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 646 876 9923 or 877 853 5247 (Toll Free) or 888 788 0099 (Toll Free) or 833 548 0276 (Toll Free) or 833 548 0282 (Toll Free)
Webinar ID: 840 9608 8718

International numbers available: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/u/kdvNFTXr9P

Detailed instructions on participating via Zoom are available at: https://abag.ca.gov/zoom-information. Committee members and members of the public participating by Zoom wishing to speak should use the "raise hand" feature or dial "*9". In order to get the full Zoom experience, please make sure your

application is up to date.

Members of the public may participate by phone or Zoom or may submit comments by email at info@bayareametro.gov by 5:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled meeting date. Please include the committee or board meeting name in the subject line. Due to the current circumstances there may be limited opportunity to address comments during the meeting. All comments received will be submitted into the record.

ABAG POWER Executive Committee Roster Angela Walton, Chair Doug Williams, Vice Chair Andre Duurvoort, Diana Oyler, Chris Schroeder

As Chair of the ABAG POWER Executive Committee, I am calling a Special Executive Committee meeting for Thursday, July 21, 2022 at 11:00 a.m., in the Bay Area Metro Center (Remotely). The business to be transacted will include:

- 1) Welcome and Introductions
- 2) Public Comment
- 3) Consent Calendar:
 - a. Approval of ABAG POWER Resolution No. 22-07 Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas) Remote Meetings.
- 4) California's Net Energy Metering (NEM) Program
- 5) Other Business
- 6) Adjournment

Members of the public shall be provided an opportunity to directly address the Committee concerning any item described in this notice, before or during consideration of that item. An agenda will be posted and distributed for this meeting by Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) staff in the normal course.

DocuSigned by: alton

Angela Walton July 13, 2022



Meeting Agenda - Final

ABAG POWER Executive Committee

Chair, Angela Walton, City of Richmond Vice Chair, Doug Williams, City of Santa Rosa					
Thursday, July 21, 2022	11:00 AM	(REMOTE)			
	ABAG Publicly Owned Energy Resources				
	Executive Committee				
	Special Meeting No. 2022-04				
	Committee Representative Roster				
	Andre Duurvoort, City of Cupertino				

Andre Duurvoort, City of Cupertino Diana Oyler, County of Contra Costa Chris Schroeder, City of Milpitas Angela Walton, City of Richmond Doug Williams, City of Santa Rosa

In light of Governor Newsom's State of Emergency declaration regarding COVID-19 and in accordance with Assembly Bill 361's (Rivas) provisions allowing remote meetings, this meeting will be accessible via webcast, teleconference, and Zoom for all participants.

A Zoom panelist link for meeting participants will be sent separately to committee members.

The agenda will be available publicly at: https://abag.ca.gov/meetings.

Attendee Link: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/j/84096088718 Join by Telephone: 888-788-0099 or 877-853-5247 (both toll free) Webinar ID: 840 9608 8718

Members of the public may participate or may submit comments by email at info@bayareametro.gov by 5:00 p.m. the day before the scheduled meeting date. Please include the committee or board meeting name and agenda item number in the subject line.

Due to the current circumstances, there may be limited opportunity to address comments during the meeting. All comments received will be submitted into the record.

1. Welcome and Introductions

Call to order. Angela Walton, ABAG POWER Executive Committee Chair

Roll Call / Confirm Quorum. Cindy Chen, Energy Programs Coordinator, ABAG/MTC

2. Public Comment

The public is encouraged to provide comment at Committee meetings. This public comment period is intended for items not agendized.

3. Consent Calendar

<u>22-1129</u>	Approval of ABAG POWER Resolution No. 22-07 Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas) Remote Meetings.
Action:	Approval
<u>Presenter:</u>	Ryan Jacoby, Program Manager, ABAG/MTC
Attachments:	3.a ABAG POWER Resolution 22-07 AB 361 Remote Meetings

4. California's Net Energy Metering (NEM) Program

<u>22-1175</u>	Request to authorize the ABAG POWER Executive Committee Chair to work with staff to draft a statement of position regarding the proposed changes to California's NEM program, and to explore and then transmit the letter to the appropriate recipient.
<u>Action:</u>	Approval
<u>Presenter:</u>	Chris Schroeder, ABAG POWER Executive Committee David Rosenfeld, Solar Rights Alliance
Attachments:	4.a Summary Sheet- Proposed Changes to Californias Net Energy Metering P
	4.b Save California Solar- Fact Sheet-Utilities Want to Stop California's Solar F
	4.c Save California Solar- Organizations & Community Leaders in Support of F
	4.d NRDC- A Four Point Guide to California's Net Metering Update
	4.e NRDC- California's Net Metering Reform Necessary Accurate Fair

5. Other Business

Committee to provide update on items within member agencies relevant to ABAG POWER and/or the ABAG/MTC energy programs.

6. Adjournment / Next Meeting

The next meeting of the ABAG POWER Executive Committee Meeting scheduled to be held on August 18, 2022.

Public Comment: The public is encouraged to comment on agenda items at Committee meetings by completing a request-to-speak card (available from staff) and passing it to the Committee secretary. Public comment may be limited by any of the procedures set forth in Section 3.09 of MTC's Procedures Manual (Resolution No. 1058, Revised) if, in the chair's judgment, it is necessary to maintain the orderly flow of business.

Meeting Conduct: If this meeting is willfully interrupted or disrupted by one or more persons rendering orderly conduct of the meeting unfeasible, the Chair may order the removal of individuals who are willfully disrupting the meeting. Such individuals may be arrested. If order cannot be restored by such removal, the members of the Committee may direct that the meeting room be cleared (except for representatives of the press or other news media not participating in the disturbance), and the session may continue.

Record of Meeting: Committee meetings are recorded. Copies of recordings are available at a nominal charge, or recordings may be listened to at MTC offices by appointment. Audiocasts are maintained on MTC's Web site (mtc.ca.gov) for public review for at least one year.

Accessibility and Title VI: MTC provides services/accommodations upon request to persons with disabilities and individuals who are limited-English proficient who wish to address Commission matters. For accommodations or translations assistance, please call 415.778.6757 or 415.778.6769 for TDD/TTY. We require three working days' notice to accommodate your request.

可及性和法令第六章: MTC 根據要求向希望來委員會討論有關事宜的殘疾人士及英語有限者提供 服務/方便。需要便利設施或翻譯協助者,請致電 415.778.6757 或 415.778.6769 TDD / TTY。我們 要求您在三個工作日前告知,以滿足您的要求。

Acceso y el Titulo VI: La MTC puede proveer asistencia/facilitar la comunicación a las personas discapacitadas y los individuos con conocimiento limitado del inglés quienes quieran dirigirse a la Comisión. Para solicitar asistencia, por favor llame al número 415.778.6757 o al 415.778.6769 para TDD/TTY. Requerimos que solicite asistencia con tres días hábiles de anticipación para poderle proveer asistencia.

Attachments are sent to Committee members, key staff and others as appropriate. Copies will be available at the meeting.

All items on the agenda are subject to action and/or change by the Committee. Actions recommended by staff are subject to change by the Committee.



Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	22-112	29	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Action	Item			Status:	Committee Approval	
File created:	6/15/2	022			In control:	ABAG POWER Executive Committee	
On agenda:	7/21/2	022			Final action:		
Title:	Approval of ABAG POWER Resolution No. 22-07 Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas) Remote Meetings.						
Sponsors:							
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:	3.a ABAG POWER Resolution 22-07 AB 361 Remote Meetings						
Date	Ver. A	Action By			Actio	n	Result

Subject:

Approval of ABAG POWER Resolution No. 22-07 Assembly Bill 361 (Rivas) Remote Meetings.

Presenter:

Ryan Jacoby, Program Manager, ABAG/MTC

Recommended Action:

Approval

Attachments: List any attachments.

Association of Bay Area Governments Publicly Owned Energy Resources

ABAG POWER

Executive Committee

July 21, 2022

Agenda Item 3.a.

Resolution No. 22-07 Providing for Remote Meetings Pursuant to AB 361

Subject:

Approval of Resolution No. 22-07 regarding remote meetings pursuant to AB 361.

Background:

AB 361 provides for continuing availability of remote meetings during the pandemic-related state of emergency in California. In order to invoke this option, governing boards of Brown Act bodies, or their authorized designated committees must make certain findings in support of remote meetings within 30 days of the first meeting occurring after October 1, 2021, and every 30 days thereafter. Attached for your review and approval is a resolution invoking AB 361 and providing for remote meetings retroactive to Oct. 1 of this year and prospectively for 30 days following the action of the Board of Directors for the Association of Bay Area Governments Publicly Owned Energy Resources (ABAG POWER).

Issues:

Findings in support of Resolution No. 22-07 are found in the attached. Given the continuing state of public health emergency and the improved public access afforded by holding public meetings of regional bodies in a virtual setting, the resolution under AB 361 is supportable.

Recommended Action:

The Executive Committee for ABAG POWER is requested to adopt Resolution No. 22-07 authorizing its committees and related entities to meet remotely pursuant to the provisions of AB 361.

Attachments:

ABAG POWER Resolution 22-07

Reviewed:

Brad Paul

Brad Paul

ABAG PUBLICLY OWNED ENERGY RESOURCES EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION NO. 22-07

ABSTRACT

This resolution makes findings pursuant to AB 361 to continue virtual public meetings for the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) Publicly Owned Energy Resources (ABAG POWER), its related entities and committees during the COVID-19 State of Emergency.

Further discussion of this subject is contained in the ABAG POWER Summary Sheet dated July 21st, 2022.

ABAG PUBLICLY OWNED ENERGY RESOURCES EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION NO. 22-07

FINDINGS PURSUANT TO AB 361 TO CONTINUE VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETINGS FOR THE ASSOCIATION OF BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS PUBLICLY OWNED ENERGY RESOURCES (ABAG POWER) AND ITS RELATED ENTITIES AND COMMITTEES DURING THE COVID-19 STATE OF EMERGENCY

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2020, the Governor of the State of California declared a state of emergency, as defined under the California Emergency Services Act, due to the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, the State of Emergency remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, beginning in March 2020, the Governor's Executive Order N-29-20 suspended Brown Act requirements related to teleconferencing during the COVID-19 pandemic provided that notice, accessibility, and other requirements were met, and the public was allowed to observe and address the legislative body at the meeting; and

WHEREAS, Executive Order N-08-21 extended the previous order until September 30, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Association of Bay Area Governments Publicly Owned Energy Resources (ABAG POWER) and its related entities and committees have conducted their meetings virtually, as authorized by the Executive Order, since March 17, 2020; and

WHEREAS, on September 16, 2021, the Governor signed into law AB 361, an urgency measure effective upon adoption, that provides flexibility to government bodies, allowing them to meet virtually without conforming to the Brown Act teleconferencing rules if: (i) the legislative body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency, and state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing; (ii) the legislative body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency for the purpose of determining, by majority vote, whether, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; or (iii) the legislative body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of y majority vote, that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

WHEREAS, the San Francisco Public Health Department continues to recommend and/or require measures to promote social distancing in combination with other safety precautions when activities occur in shared indoor spaces to mitigate the risk of COVID-19 transmission; and WHEREAS, recently, multiple COVID-19 variants have surged in the United States and are believed by medical experts to be more contagious than previous variants, and data has shown these variants to have increased transmissibility even among some vaccinated people; and

WHEREAS, due to uncertainty and concerns about recent COVID-19 variants and current conditions, many workplaces that had announced a return to regular in-person operations have pushed back the full return date; and

WHEREAS, virtual meetings have not diminished the public's ability to observe and participate and have expanded opportunities to do so for some communities; and

WHEREAS, given the heightened risks of the predominant variant of COVID-19 in the community, holding meetings with all members of the legislative body, staff, and the public in attendance in person in a shared indoor meeting space would pose an unnecessary and immediate risk to the attendees;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Executive Committee on behalf of ABAG POWER hereby determines that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person presents imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and be it further

RESOLVED, that in accordance with AB 361, based on the findings and determinations herein, meetings of ABAG POWER, its related entities and its committees will be held virtually, with Brown Act teleconferencing rules suspended; and be it further

RESOLVED, that this resolution shall be effective upon adoption and remain in effect for 30 days after the first meeting held in accordance with AB 361.

This foregoing was adopted by the Executive Committee at its meeting on this 21st day of July, 2022.

By:

Angela Walton, Chair

AYES: NOES: ABSENT: ABSTAIN:

Certification of Executive Committee Approval

I, the undersigned, the appointed and qualified Secretary of the ABAG Publicly OWned Energy Resources (ABAG POWER), do hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Executive Committee of ABAG POWER at a duly called meeting held on the 21st day of July, 2022.

Jennifer Berg, Secretary ABAG POWER



Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	22-1175	Version:	1	Name:		
Туре:	Action Item			Status:	Committee Approval	
File created:	6/24/2022			In control:	ABAG POWER Executive Commit	ttee
On agenda:	7/21/2022			Final action:		
Title:	Request to authorize the ABAG POWER Executive Committee Chair to work with staff to draft a statement of position regarding the proposed changes to California's NEM program, and to explore and then transmit the letter to the appropriate recipient.					
Sponsors:						
Indexes:						
Code sections:						
Attachments:	4.a Summary Sheet- Proposed Changes to Californias Net Energy Metering Program					
	4.b Save California Solar- Fact Sheet-Utilities Want to Stop California's Solar Progress					
	4.c Save California Solar- Organizations & Community Leaders in Support of Protecting Solar Net					
	4.d NRDC- A Four Point Guide to California's Net Metering Update					
	4.e NRDC- California's Net Metering Reform Necessary Accurate Fair					
Date	Ver. Action	Ву		Ac	tion	Result

Subject:

Request to authorize the ABAG POWER Executive Committee Chair to work with staff to draft a statement of position regarding the proposed changes to California's NEM program, and to explore and then transmit the letter to the appropriate recipient.

Presenter:

Chris Schroeder, ABAG POWER Executive Committee David Rosenfeld, Solar Rights Alliance **Recommended Action:**

Approval

Attachments: List any attachments.

Association of Bay Area Governments Publicly Owned Energy Resources

ABAG POWER

Executive Committee

July 21, 2022

Agenda Item 4.a.

California's Net Energy Metering (NEM) Program

Subject:

Request to authorize the ABAG POWER Executive Committee Chair to execute and submit a statement of position regarding the proposed changes to California's NEM program to the appropriate recipient. Based on the Committee's direction, staff will prepare the statement of position for the Committee Chair to execute.

Background:

Customers who install small solar, wind, biogas, and fuel cell generation facilities to serve all or a portion of onsite electricity needs are eligible for the state's net energy metering (NEM) program. NEM allows customers who generate their own energy "(customer-generators") to serve their energy needs directly onsite and to receive a financial credit on their electric bills for any surplus energy fed back to their utility.

For more than 20 years, California has aggressively supported the rooftop solar market through its NEM program in Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), Southern California Edison (SCE), and San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) territories. The current NEM program ("NEM 2") provides customer-generators full retail rate credits for energy exported to the grid and requires them to pay a few charges that align NEM customer costs more closely with non-NEM customer costs.

On August 27, 2020, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) adopted an Order Instituting Rulemaking to revisit the existing NEM tariffs, with the major focus being the development of successor tariffs – referred to as NEM 3.0 – pursuant to the requirements of California Assembly Bill 327 (2013, Perea). The proceeding's scope also includes the review and potential modification of all NEM tariff schedules, and issues related to consumer protection.

On December 13, 2021, the CPUC issued a Proposed Decision (PD), with comments due by January 27, 2022. There were many comments submitted. To date, the PD has yet to be put on the CPUC agenda for a vote.

On May 9, 2022, the assigned Administrative Law Judge issued a ruling seeking comment on several issues. Thereafter on June 2, 2022, a procedural ruling was issued, extending the deadline for reply comments to July 1, 2022.

Issues:

Attached are arguments in in support and in opposition of the December 13, 2021 Proposed Decision issued by the CPUC. The CPUC's comment period ended July 1, 2022. Accordingly, the appropriate recipient of a statement of position regarding the NEM PD must be explored.

Recommended Action:

The Executive Committee for ABAG POWER is requested to authorize the Chair to execute a statement of position regarding the proposed changes to California's NEM program to the appropriate recipient and to submit the letter to the appropriate recipient.

Attachments:

- Save California Solar Fact Sheet
- Save California Solar List of Organizations & Community Leaders in Support of Protecting Solar Net Metering & Continuing to Grow Rooftop Solar
- NRDC A Four Point Guide to California's Net Metering Update
- NRDC California's Net Metering Reform: Necessary, Accurate, Fair

Reviewed:

Brad Paul 8C3380BA165B4D1

Brad Paul



Fact Sheet

Utilities want to stop California's solar progress. Don't give up your solar power.

California is a solar state where the sun belongs to everyone. Popular policies like net metering are helping millions of middle and working class Californians tap into the sun's power to save money and clean up our air.

Big utilities like PG&E, are standing in the way of future progress. They are lobbying the CA Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to add a monthly solar penalty fee to ratepayer bills and drastically reduce the credit solar consumers receive for selling excess power back to the grid.

Late last year, the CPUC released a proposed decision that gives the utilities almost everything they asked for. If the CPUC approves their proposal, Californians' access to solar power will be blocked.

This is a big utility profit grab at the expense of the public and planet that is out of step with California's clean energy goals. We are asking Governor Newsom to support rooftop solar for the public and stop the utility profit grab.

Take action today! Visit <u>SaveCaliforniaSolar.org</u> to sign the petition to Governor Newsom.

The Sun Belongs To Everyone

- Over 2,000 schools, 1,000 farms, 300 apartment buildings and over a million homes are powered directly by the sun. [1]
- Every day, 400 new consumers plug into the sun to save money and do their part to reduce air pollution and stop climate change. [2]

Keep the Lights On With Sun-Charged Batteries

- Increasingly, consumers are adding a battery to their solar system to provide reliable energy for their home or business.
- California has more than 30,000 sun-charged batteries that can instantaneously provide pollution-free electricity when California needs it most late summer afternoons and early evenings when our electric grid is most stressed. [3]
- Rolling blackouts like those that occurred on August 14 and 15, 2020 are avoidable if California builds more sun-charged batteries where people live, work, pray and play.

Rooftop Solar Reduces Utility Bills For Everyone

- Maximizing rooftop solar could save ratepayers \$120 billion over the next thirty years. [4]
- In 2018 alone, rooftop solar and energy efficiency prompted the state to scale back more than 20 power line projects, saving \$2.6 billion. This trend has continued since. [5]

Contact info@savecaliforniasolar.org

Updated December 15, 2021

The Big Utility Profit Grab Hurts Working Families the Most

The CPUC's proposal is a near carbon-copy of the one PG&E, So Cal Edison, and San Diego Gas & Electric lobbied for. It would effectively put solar out of reach for nearly everyone. [6]

- A Solar Tax of between \$300 and \$600 a year. The Solar Tax would be based on the amount of solar energy you make and consume. The more solar energy you make, the higher the tax. This includes apartment buildings, new homes built with solar per the state mandate, and solar-powered batteries.
- 80% cut to the credit solar users get for sharing surplus solar energy with the grid. The credit would drop from an average of twenty-five cents per kilowatt hour to about five cents. This cut happens immediately; we predict it will end the solar market overnight.
- Rolls back protections for existing solar users. Existing solar users are currently protected from changes to net metering rules for 20 years from the date their system turned on. The CPUC is now proposing to reduce that protection to 15 years, and eliminate the protection altogether if you accept their battery rebate.
- A step backward for equity. The CPUC claims they are helping more low-income households by exempting them from the solar penalty fee, and with a "Market Transition Credit" of \$26 per month for eligible PG&E customers and \$31 per month for eligible Southern California Edison customers. In reality, the CPUC's deal would make solar more expensive for low-income households. For example, low-income households going solar with PG&E would pay \$24 to \$50 per month more than under the status quo. That's because slashing the net metering credit by 80% destroys the bill savings that make solar affordable for working and middle class people. [7]
- This will make solar unaffordable for most people, right when just under half of all new solar is going into working and middle class neighborhoods, serving both homeowners and renters. [8] Over 150,000 solar roofs serve customers in the CARE discount program. [9] This year, an additional 30,000 rental units serving more than 100,000 people at multifamily affordable housing projects are under development due to net metering, and another 200,000 families in affordable multi-family homes are projected to get solar by 2030. [10]
- This will also ruin thousands of small, locally-owned solar businesses and threaten over 60,000 jobs. [11]

The Utility Profit Grab Impedes Getting To 100% Clean Energy

- The California Energy Commission estimates California will need three times as much solar both large-scale and rooftop to meet its goals and help fight climate change. [12]
- By building solar panels on roofs, instead of converting open space to utility-scale solar farms, California can also help protect open space. For every gigawatt of rooftop solar built on rooftops, almost 5,200 acres of land can be protected. [13]
- Today's one million solar systems produce nearly 13 billion kilowatt hours (kWh) of clean energy each year, avoiding 5 million metric tons of CO2 annually - the equivalent of two coal-fired power plants. [14]

Utilities Care About Profits, Not Equity

- Long-distance power lines and "wildfire mitigation" are what's actually driving up our electricity bills. Consumers pay an estimated \$9 billion a year related to long-distance power lines. [15]
- Utilities get a guaranteed profit of 8-10% from every dollar they spend building and maintaining those power lines. [16]
- Utilities have lobbied against every major proposal to help more working communities adopt solar and battery storage. [17]

We should keep solar growing, and make it more equitable

The State of California should keep rooftop solar and battery storage growing, and to make it more equitable so that millions of working class households and communities including renters have access to solar in the next few years. [18]

Endnotes

[1] California Solar & Storage Association: Shovel Ready for Recovery

[2] California DG Stats. https://www.californiadgstats.ca.gov.

[3] See note 1

[4] Vibrant Clean Energy: Role of Distributed Generation in Decarbonizing California by 2045

[5] See <u>Utility Dive</u> summary of this CA Independent Systems Operator (CAISO) 2018 report, with link to the actual report. CAISO's <u>most recent 2020-2021 report</u> continued to credit rooftop solar and efficiency for reducing transmission line spending, "*Load forecast growth continues to remain relatively flat, resulting in part from continued statewide emphasis on energy efficiency and behind-the-meter generation...Consistent with past studies, this transmission planning cycle did not reveal the need for major transmission expansion to achieve the 60 percent RPS goal set out in SB 100 for 2030.." (p.1) [6] <u>CPUC Proposal for NEM3</u> released December 13, 2021. Solar Penalty Fee: PG&E: \$8 per kW of installed solar capacity. This is \$48 per month for a customer with a typical solar system size of 6 kW; SCE: \$8 per kW of installed solar capacity plus \$12 per month. This is \$60 per month for a typical customer; SDG&E: \$8 per kW of installed solar capacity plus \$16 per month. This is \$64 per month for a typical customer. <u>CPUC's request for additional input on additional ideas</u>, May 9th, 2022. See Solar Rights Alliance <u>blog post</u> and <u>spreadsheet</u> demonstrating how this would amount to \$300 to \$600 / year Solar Tax. [7] California Solar & Storage Association, <u>Under NEM-3 Proposal</u>, Solar & Storage Become More Expensive, Even for Low-Income.*

[8] Neighborhood level adoption data: The Berkeley Lab: <u>Solar Demographics Tool</u> and <u>Income Trends among U.S.</u> <u>Residential Rooftop Solar Adopters</u>

[9] <u>CARE data</u>

[10] See note 2.

[11] The Solar Foundation: National Solar Jobs Census

[12] California Energy Commission: <u>SB 100 Joint Agency Report Summary</u>, p. 10

[13] Environment California Research & Policy Center: The Environmental Case for Rooftop Solar

[14] See note 1

[15] CA Public Utilities Commission: <u>Utility Costs and Affordability of the Grid of the Future</u> \$4B in transmission costs in 2021 on p. 3; \$5B in wildfire mitigation costs in 2019 on p. 60; \$4.336 in 2021 transmission spending and rate of increase p. 36 [16] CPUC's "Utility Costs and Affordability of the Grid of the Future: The Averch-Johnson effect described on page 26; The state's investor-owned utilities charged ratepayers nearly \$20 billion in transmission line projects between 2010 and 2019 (pp. 39, Table 11); Utilities collected more than \$20 billion in profits over a similar time period (utility 10-K filings, <u>itemized here</u>).

[17] Partial list of initiatives utilities lobbied to kill or defang: Affordable housing solar incentives (<u>AB 693</u> - Eggman, 2015); Low-income feed in tariff (<u>AB 1990</u> - Fong); Community solar (<u>SB 843</u> - Wolk, 2013; <u>SB 43</u> - Wolk, 2013; CPUC implementation); Microgrids (SB 1339, CPUC implementation)

[18] Coalition for Environmental Equity & Economics, Equity Statement and Path for more solar equity



Business/Green Building

California Building Industry Association Silicon Valley Leadership Group California Association of Realtors Activate Capital Breakthrough Energy Ventures Buoyant Ventures Capricorn Investment Group City Light Capital Clean Energy Ventures Congruent **DBL** Partners DFJ/DFJ Growth Ecosystem Integrity Fund G2 Venture Partners Madrone Capital Partners NGP Energy Technology Partners **Obvious Ventures** Overture Pangaea Ventures Powerhouse Ventures Prelude Ventures LLC SJF Ventures Spero Ventures Westly Group Wireframe Ventures Raytheon Technologies (RTX) 5th Dot Studio Aligned Energy Partners AmeriGreen Solar **Balco** Properties Beni Sol Better APC **BFG** Environment Bulk Jerky Business For Good San Diego Cal Solar Inc CalCom Energy CALSSA CHERP, Inc. Corona Enterprises, LLC CO Floors, LLC Cream Delhi Diner **Diffusion Studios** Earthing GFC GlenLyon Vineyards & Winery GreenPower Green Workers Alliance Hey Social Good

Holland Nut Company Ice Cream on the Boardwalk Isla Vista Food Co-op J Z Design & Build Inc JVT Enterprises Ilc Klype LLC Lauterbach Cellars Makeup Store McDargh Enterprises Moshin Vineyards Native Sol Northern California Solar Energy Association Nutmeg's Ojai House O'Connell Ranch Offset Climate PACENation Pacific Energy Policy Center Pacific Research Group Page Against the Machine Peninsula Clean Energy Pizza Napolita Poke Parlor **R&N** Strategies **Récolte Energy** Napa Recycling & Waste Services Renewable Dream Team LLC Revel Energy **RK** Communication Robert Carr Consultants Sack. Cloth and Ash Sackcloth and ash SAGA San Diego Green Building Council Santa Barbara South Coast Chamber of Commerce Scudder Roofing Sun Energy Systems Siegel & Strain Architects Steve Westly, Managing Partner, Westly Group and former California State Controller Sky Power Solar Sustainable Stork Solutions Templeton Chamber of Commerce The Funnel House Three Pillar Communities **US** Facilities Lighting Warne construction ZIP Zoho

Community

Anahuak Youth Soccer Association API Forward Movement California State Grange Coalition for the San Francisco Neighborhoods Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc. Community Corporation of Santa Monica Council of Mexican Federations (COFEM) Del Paso Heights Growers Alliance Democratic Club of Claremont Democratic Club of Greater Tracy Democrats of the Desert East Bay Clean Power Alliance East Bay for Everyone FCAT Fallbrook Climate Action Team Federacion de Clubes de Colima Federacíon de Clubes y Asociaciones de Michoacán Federacíon de Guanajuatenses Federación Duranguense USA Federation of Hidalguenses in California Fraternidad Sinaloense Futures Unbound Gallinas Watershed Council Grass Roots Institute La Peña Cultural Center Long Beach Community Table Long Beach Environmental Alliance Long Beach Gray Panthers Los Angeles Waterkeeper McGee-Spaulding Neighbors in Action Mujeres Unidos Sirviendo Activamente National Skill Pool Nevada County Climate Action Now Nicaragua Center for Community Action North Coast People's Alliance Peninsula For Everyone Planning Association for the Richmond Project Artaud Corporation RootsAction Samuel Lawrence Foundation San Diego Energy District Foundation San Francisco Community Empowerment Center San Joaquin Urban Native Council Sonoma County Peace & Justice Center South Berkeley NOW! SPUR Sustainable Marin



The Federation of Nayarit in the United States The Federation of Sonora USA The Organización Regional de Oaxaca Unitarian Universalist Fellowship of Los Gatos United Women of East Africa Vet Voice Foundation WEHANA (West Harmon Area Neighborhood Association)

Consumer, Housing, & Faith

Affordable Communities, Inc. Affordable Housing Development Corporation (AHDC) Augusta Communities Bay Area Community Land Trust **Bay Area Community Services** Berkeley Tenants Union **BRIDGE** Housing CA Interfaith Power & Light **CADEM Renters Council** California Coalition for Rural Housing California Community Economic Development Association California Housing Consortium California Housing Partnership California Public Interest Research Group (CALPIRG) Californians for Disability Rights (CDR) **CALPIRG Students** Citizens Franchise Alliance **Community Housing Improvement** Program, Inc. Community Housing Works Congregation Netivot Shalom Consumer Watchdog David Baker Architects **Designing Accessible Communities** DSO San Diego EAH Housing East Bay Asian Local Development Corporation (EBALDC) East Bay Housing Organizations Faith Church Green Sanctuary Greenbank Associates Greening Committee of Kehilla Community Svnagogue Heirloom Church of the Nazarene Heritage Housing Partners

House of Hope - San Bernardino Housing California Living Vine Church Lewis Apartment Communities Lutherans Restoring Creation - California MCJC Justice Group Mendocino Coast Jewish Community Justice Group MidPen Housing Mission Economic Development Agency Monterey County Renters United Neighborhood Church Neighborhood Partners, LLC Northern California Land Trust Pacific West Communities Peninsula Interfaith Climate Action Project Green Home Redwood Energy Resources for Community Development Sacramento Area Congregations Together San Diego Housing Federation San Francisco Bay Physicians for Social Responsibility San Joaquin Valley Housing Collaborative Self-Help Enterprises Southern California Association of NonProfit Housing (SCANPH) Tesla owners Silicon Valley The Kitchen SF Rainmakers

Conservation & Wildlife

Amargosa Conservancy Anderson Marsh Interpretive Association Audubon California Basin and Range Watch Bolsa Chica Land Trust CA Climate and Agriculture Network CA Climate and Agriculture Network California Desert Coalition California Institute for Biodiversity California Native Plant Society California Native Plant Society Santa Clara Vallev Chapter California Native Plant Society, Alta Peak Chapter California Native Plant Society, Bristlecone Chapter California Native Plant Society, El Dorado Chapter California Native Plant Society, Kern Chapter

California Native Plant Society, Marin Chapter California Native Plant Society, Redbud Chapter California Native Plant Society, Riverside-San Bernardino Chapter California Native Plant Society, San Diego Chapter California Native Plant Society, Yerba Buena Chapter California Waterfowl Association California Wilderness Coalition Californians Against Waste Californians for Western Wilderness Center for Biological Diversity Defenders of Wildlife Endangered Habitats League Friends Of Riverside's Hills Friends of the Amargosa Basin Friends of the Eel River Humboldt Baykeeper Los Angeles Audubon Society Marin Audubon Society Mojave Desert Land Trust Morongo Basin Conservation Association Mountain Lion Foundation National Parks Conservation Association Northern California Land Trust Northern California Land Trust Redwood Alliance The Nature Conservancy The Wilderness Society The Wildlands Conservancy Tulevome UC Davis Natural Reserve System Western Watersheds Project

Education

School Energy Coalition California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing Association of California School Administrators California School Boards Association Los Angeles Unified School District Carlsbad Unified School District Visalia Unified School District Riverdale Unified School District Pittsburg Unified School District Mendota Unified School District Kerman Unified School District



Golden Plains Unified School District Lindsay Unified School District Vista Unified School District Ojai Unified School District Mendocino-Lake Community College District San Marcos Unified School District Paiaro Valley Unified School District Berkeley Unified School District El Monte Union High School District Grossmont Union High School District Redwood City School District Ukiah Unified School District Associated Students SDSU Andrea's Voice Ralph Dunlap Elementary CleanEarth4Kids Park Day School Shasta College **Elected / Government** City of San Diego City of Berkeley City of Imperial Beach City of Richmond City of Sebastopol City of Solana Beach City of Encinitas City of El Cerrito City of Palm Springs City of Desert Hot Springs City of Hayward City of Hawthorne Town of Fairfax City of Indian Wells City of Palm Desert Coachella Valley Association of **Governments** Diablo Water District San Diego Community Power San Jose Clean Energy Santa Cruz County Board of Supervisors Mendocino County Board of Supervisors U.S. Congressmember Mike Thompson U.S. Congressmember Mike Levin U.S. Congressmember Maxine Waters U.S. Congressmember Anna Eshoo U.S. Congressmember Zoe Lofgren

Golden Valley Unified School District

Vista Vallev U.S. Congressmember Lucille

U.S. Congressmember Brad Sherman U.S. Congressmember Barbara Lee U.S. Congressmember Jim Costa U.S. Congressmember Doris Matsui U.S. Congressmember Jerry McNerney U.S. Congressmember Jackie Speier U.S. Congressmember Karen Bass U.S. Congressmember Julia Brownley U.S. Congressmember Jared Huffman U.S. Congressmember Alan Lowenthal U.S. Congressmember Raul Ruiz U.S. Congressmember Eric Swalwell U.S. Congressmember Mark Takano U.S. Congressmember Mark DeSaulnier U.S. Congressmember Ted Lieu U.S. Congressmember Nanette Barragan U.S. Congressmember Ro Khanna U.S. Congressmember Jimmy Panetta U.S. Congressmember Jimmy Gomez U.S. Congressmember Katie Porter Alan Nagy, Mayor, City of Newark Alma Beltran, Mayor, Parlier Ann Schneider, Mayor, Millbrae Bruce Ackerman, Mayor, Fairfax Barbara Halliday, Mayor, Hayward Charles Stone, Mayor, Belmont Claudia Bill-de la Peña, Mayor, Thousand Oaks Christy Gilbert Holstege, Esg., Mayor, Palm Springs Daniel Lee, Vice Mayor, Culver City Darrell Steinberg, Mayor, Sacramento Drew Boyles, Mayor, El Segundo Eli Beckman, Mayor, Corte Madera Emmanuel Estrada, Mayor, Baldwin Park Jaime Tarne, Mayor, Etna James Campbell, Mayor, Belvedere Jerry Dyer, Mayor, Fresno Jesse Arreguin, Mayor, Berkeley Kathy Ward, Mayor, San Clemente Libby Schaaf, Mayor, Oakland Mary Casillas Salas, Mayor, City of Chula Maryann Moise Derwin, Mayor, Portola Norma Martínez-Rubin, Mayor, City of Pinole Pat Furey, Mayor, Torrance Pauline Cutter, Mayor, San Leandro Paul Fadelli, Mayor, El Cerrito Robert Brownstone, Mayor, Half Moon Bay

Ronald Kott, Mayor, Rio Vista Sam Liccardo, Mayor, San Jose Serge Dedina, Mayor, Imperial Beach Sofia Rubalcava, Mayor, City of Ventura Steve Young, Mayor, Benicia Steven Vargas, Mayor, Brea Teresa Barrett, Mayor, Petaluma Terry Gaasterland, Mayor, City of Del Mar Vicente Sarmiento, Mayor, Santa Ana Artemio Villegas, Mayor Pro Tem City Council #6, Madera Bobby Duncan, Mayor Pro Tem, City of Yucaipa Gabriel Quinto, Mayor Pro Tem, El Cerrito Victor Aguilar, Vice Mayor, San Leandro Wes Speake, Vice Mayor, Corona Paul Grisanti, Mayor, Malibu Shawn Kumagai, Vice Mayor, City of Dublin San Diego County Supervisor Joel Anderson Alexandre Monteiro, Councilmember, City of Hawthorne Alison Hicks, Councilmember, Mountain View Citv Bill Baber, City Councilmember, La Mesa Chris Duncan, City Councilmember, San Clemente Claudia Jimenez, City Councilmember, Richmond Corina Lopez, Councilmember, San Leandro Dan Kalb, City Councilmember, Oakland David Cohen, Councilmember, San Jose Dennis Pocekay, Councilmember, Petaluma Citv Devin Murphy, Councilmember, City of Pinole City Ed Hernandez, Former Councilmember, San Leandro Eddie Alvarez, Councilmember, Santa Rosa Gavle McLaughlin, Councilmember, Richmond City J Francisco Zermeño C, Councilmember, City of Hayward James Coleman, Councilmember, City of South San Francisco Jenny Kenover, Councilmember, Modesto Joe Patterson, City Councilman, City of Rocklin

Rovbal-Allard



John Friedrich, City Councilmember, South Lake Tahoe Jon Willey, City Councilmember, Cupertino Justin Massey, City Councilmember, Hermosa Beach Kate Harrison, Councilmember, Berkeley City Loren Taylor, Councilmember, City of Oakland Nelida Mendoza, Councilmember, Santa Ana Nithya Raman, City Councilmember, Los Angeles Priva Bhat-Patel, Councilmember, Carlsbad Raul Campillo, Councilmember, San Diego Salvador Solorio-Ruiz, Councilmember, City of Delano Sally Lieber, Councilmember, Mountain View Susan Wengraf, Councilmember, Berkeley Citv Tony Goodwin, City Councilmember, City of Modesto Tony Madrigal, District 2 Councilmember, Modesto City Valerie Arkin, Councilmember, City of Pleasanton Mario Fernandez, Vice Chair, Humboldt County Democratic Central Committee Helene Rouvier, Associate Member, Humboldt Progressive Democrats Igor Tregub, Member, Berkeley Democratic Caucus Chris Ricci, Councilmember, District 3, Modesto Gregory RAths, Councilman, Mission Viejo Greg Bradley, Treasurer, Upland Christian Dinco, Councilman, Eastvale East Area Progressive Democrats Alameda County Democratic Party West Contra Costa County Democratic Club El Cerrito Democratic Club Yuba City Democratic Party California Democratic Party Region 5 California Democratic Party Region 6 California Democratic Party Region 7 California Young Democrats San Bernardino County Democratic Party John O'Riordan, Chair, California Democratic Party Irish Caucus

Myrna Melgar, Supervisor, San Francisco Board of Supervisors Debra Baumann, Committee Member, Lake County Democratic Central Committee Igor Tregub, Chair, California Democratic Party Environmental Caucus Joy Sterling, Chair, California Democratic Party Rural Caucus California Young Democrats Environmental Caucus Amar Shergill, Chair, Progressive Caucus California Democratic Party Michael Kapp, Youth Council Chair, DNC Marjorie Olson, Chair, Solano County Democratic Party John Comiskey, Co-Chair, Dean Democratic Club of Silicon Valley Leah Pressman, Member, Culver City Democratic Club Isaac Lieberman, Central Committee Member, LA County Democratic Jessica Craven, Central Committee Member, LA County Democratic Lake County Democratic Central Committee Mike Serrone, Secretary, Sunnyvale Democratic Club Courtney Ransom, Director of Membership, San Diego County Democrats for Environmental Action Cesar Zepeda, President, West County Democratic Club Pamela Drake, Coordinating Committee Member, Wellstone Democratic Renewal Club Taisha Brown, Chair, California Democratic Party Black Caucus Carolyn Fowler, Chair, California Democratic Party Women's Caucus Ann Crosbie, Chair, California Democratic Party Children's Caucus Konstantine Anthony, Chair, California Democratic Party Disabilities Caucus Amar Shergill, Chair, California Democratic Party Progressive Caucus Cecile Bendavid, Chair, California Democratic Party Business and Professional Caucus Carlos Alcala, Chair, California Democratic Party Latino Caucus

Ron Cohen, Chair, California Democratic Party Veterans Caucus Ruth Carter, Chair, California Democratic Party Seniors Caucus Tiffany Woods, NorCal Co-Chair, California Democratic Party LGBT Caucus Deepa Sharma, Chair, Asian Pacific Islander Caucus Susan Hildreth. Committee Member. Democratic Party of Contra Costa County Central Committee Tonya Love, Committee Member, Alameda County Democratic Party Central Eleanore Rewerts, Chair, Yuba County Democratic Central Committee Rick DeGolia, Chair, Peninsula Clean Energy Board, endorsing as an individual Aaron Bagheri, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 24 Alfred Twu, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 15 Amar Shergill, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 9 Analisa Swan, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 43 Andrea Morgan-Chun, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 50 Andy Kelley, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 15 Angelica Velazquez, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 26 Angela Scott, Delegate 50, California Democratic Party Assembly Brian Colker, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 50 Brigette Hunley, DNC Delegate, Chair, California Democratic Party Computer and Internet Caucus Carolyn "Jiyoung" Park, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 51 Christine Pelosi, Delegate, DNC Crystal Araujo, Delegate, AD20 Dan Kalb, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 15 Daniel Bral, Delegate, AD 50 David Atkins, Delegate, DNC Democratic Party Delegate, AD 51 Diddo Clark, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 16 Dotty LeMieux, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 10



Eric Pierce, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 51 Eric Warmoth, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 1 Fabiola Orozco, Delegate, CDP AD34 Gabriel Quinto, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 15 Garry Shay, Delegate, DNC Hayley Coupon, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 51 Isabel Storey, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 50 Jennifer Esteen, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 20 Jo Ann Bollen, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 42 Jon Katz, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 50 Julissa Ruiz Ramirez, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 12 Keith Umemoto, Delegate, DNC Kenji Yamada, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 14 Lindsay Carlson, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 54 Margie Hoyt, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 66 Margo Rowder, ADEM Delegate, AD 43 Marisol Rubio, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 16 Monica Madrid, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 9 Otto Lee, Delegate, DNC RL Miller, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 44 Rocky Fernandez, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 15 Ruscal Cayangyang, Delegate, Assembly District 14 and Fmr. Vallejo School Board President Sascha Bittner, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 19 Sean Dugar, Delegate, DNC Selene Betancourt, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 51 Stacy Fortner, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 38 Stephanie Terrazas, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 57 Susan Rowe, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 5

Susan Sheu, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 50 Susana M Williams, Delegate, AD 11 and CDP E-Board Susie Shannon, Delegate, DNC Thea Selby, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 17 Wendy Bloom, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 15 Winty Singh, Delegate, California Democratic Party, AD 9 Candace Andersen, Supervisor, Contra Costa County Board of Supervisors Debra Lucero, Butte County Supervisor -District 2, Chico John Hidahl, El Dorado County District 1 Supervisor, Placerville Rocio Rivas, Delegate, California Democratic Party AD51 Otto Leem, Supervisor, Santa Clara County, San Jose Keith Corum, Supervisor, Glenn County, District 4 Jim Provenza, Chair, Yolo County Supervisors

Energy Democracy

CA Alliance for Community Energy Clean Coalition East Bay Clean Power Alliance Electric Auto Assn of Central Coast Local Clean Energy Alliance Long Beach Alliance for Clean Energy Solar Rights Alliance The Center for Community Energy Vote Solar

Labor Union

AFSCME Council 57 American Federation of Teachers Local 1931 Peralta Federation of Teachers, AFT local 1603 International Longshore and Warehouse Union SEIU-UHW SEIU 1021 UNITE HERE Local 11 UNITEHERE! 30

Climate

350 Bay Area 350 Bay Area Action 350 Butte County 350 Conejo / San Fernando Valley 350 Humboldt 350 Marin 350 Sacramento 350 Santa Barbara 350 Silicon Vallev 350 South Bay Los Angeles 350 Ventura County Climate Hub California Climate Voters Citizens' Climate Lobby - San Mateo County Chapter Citizens' Climate Lobby of San Diego Citizens' Climate Lobby, Pasadena-Foothills Chapter Citizens' Climate Lobby - Long Beach Climate Action Campaign Climate Action Santa Monica Climate First: Replacing Oil & Gas (CFROG) Climate Hawks Vote Climate Health Now Climate Reality: Silicon Valley Climate Witness Project California Community 2.0 Conejo Climate Coalition Conejo Climate Coalition Elders Climate Action, NorCal chapter Elders Climate Action, SoCal chapter Extinction Rebellion San Francisco Bay Area Fossil Free California Hammond Climate Solutions Let's Green CA!, an initiative of the Romero Institute North County Climate Change Alliance Northern California Earth Institute OccupySF Environmental Justice Working Group Oil and Gas Action Network Our Revolution East Bay ResistanceSF Romero Institute Sacramento Climate Coalition San Diego Climate Hub San Francisco Climate Emergency Coalition SanDiego350 Santa Cruz Climate Action Network



Silicon Valley Youth Climate Action SLO Climate Coalition Sunrise Bay Area Sustainable Mill Valley The Climate Center The Climate Reality Project, Orange County The Climate Reality Project, San Diego The Climate Witness Project Transition Berkeley

Environmental Justice

Activist San Diego **Brightline** Defense Coalition for Environmental Equity and Economics Environmental Justice Coalition for Water **Environmental Protection Information** Center Green the Church Home Front Environmental Justice - Morro Bav I am Green Lakota People's Law Project McCord Environmental Mujeres de la Tierra NorCal Resilience Network S.U.N Sustainable Urban Network SD Green New Deal Alliance Sunflower Alliance Sunrise Los Angeles **Todos Unidos Triple Justice**

Environment & Public Health

Acterra: Action for a Healthy Planet Active San Gabriel Valley Alliance of Nurses for Healthy Environments Ban SUP BikeSD CBFD San Diego Indivisible Center for Sustainable Energy Change Begins With ME (Indivisible) Civic Sundays CleanTech Docs. Inc. Cloverdale Indivisible Code Pink **Diablo Water District Resolutions** East Valley Indivisible Eco Active 101

Environment California Environmental Center of San Diego Environmental Health Alliance Environmental Working Group Estriatus Law, PC Feminists in Action (Indivisible) Food & Water Watch Glendale Environmental Coalition Global Self Science Mr.i 8 J Go for the Green Strategies Hillcrest Indivisible Indivisible 41 Indivisible 49 Indivisible CA Green Team Indivisible CA-33 Indivisible CA-37 Indivisible CA-43 Indivisible Claremont/Inland Valley Indivisible Conejo Indivisible East Bay Indivisible Fortuna Indivisible Fortuna Indivisible Healdsburg Indivisible Manteca Indivisible Media City Burbank Indivisible Mendocino Indivisible Napa Indivisible Normal Heights Indivisible Ocean Hills Indivisible Resistance Northridge Indivisible Resistance San Diego Indivisible Resisters Walnut Creek Indivisible Ross Vallev Indivisible Sacramento Indivisible San Diego Persist Indivisible San Francisco Indivisible San Jose Indivisible San Pedro Indivisible Santa Cruz County Indivisible Sonoma County Indivisible South Bay LA Indivisible Stanislaus Indivisible Ventura Let's Green CA!, an initiative of the Romero Institute Livermore Indivisible Mariposa Ranch Foundation Menlo Spark Mothers Out Front NorCal Broads Northcoast Environmental Center

Orchard City Indivisible Pacific Environment Defend Ballona Wetlands Protect Our Communities Foundation Rise Up To Action Rooted in Resistance (Indivisible) Safe Alternatives for our Forest Environment San Diego Urban Sustainability Coalition San Francisco League of Conservation Voters SF Bay Physicians for Social Responsibility South Bay Sustainable Communities Network Stand Strong LA Indivisible` Stand.earth Sustainable Claremont The Amargosa Conservancy The Surfrider Foundation San Diego County Chapter TWW Indivisible Los Gatos TWW Indivisible Los Gatos Venice Resistance Watershed Alliance of Marin ZEV 2030

Farms

4-S Farms Allan Bittleston Chiatri de Laguna Farm Farmland Reserve Inc Hinkley Dairy McKean Farms Markarian Family LP Sol Vista Farms Travaille & Phippen



EXPERT BLOG > MOHIT CHHABRA & JULIA DE LAMARE & MERRIAN BORGESON

A Four Point Guide to California's Net Metering Update

November 18, 2021 Mohit Chhabra Julia de Lamare Merrian Borgeson

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is evaluating <u>proposals</u> and deliberating what California's next solar net energy metering policy (NEM 3.0) should be. At the heart of this policy debate lie the questions: what should rooftop solar customers be paid for the electricity they generate? And how should the costs of the electric system that we all depend on be shared among customers with and without rooftop solar?

A proposed decision is expected by mid-December.



Susan Bilo via NREL, 21410

As we've <u>explained</u>: Current NEM is a simple policy that was designed to encourage early market adoption of solar and reduce carbon. However, as the solar market has boomed in California and solar costs have dropped, it is time to update this policy to avoid inequitable impacts that if left unchecked will make it harder to achieve California's climate and air quality goals. Do read that <u>blog</u> first if you're new to this issue.

A solution is possible that values the contribution of rooftop solar to California's clean energy future, while more fairly sharing electric system costs among all customers.

As a NEM 3.0 proposed decision looms, here are four key-points to consider:

- Rooftop solar customers are pushing electric system costs onto non-solar customers
- NEM benefits have largely gone to wealthier customers

- It is vital to equity and climate progress to ensure affordable electric rates
- Smart policy can support the continued growth of rooftop solar while achieving more equitable outcomes

Rooftop solar customers are pushing electric system costs onto non-solar customers

Currently, NEM overpays for rooftop solar by up to four to six times the value of the electricity these panels generate. See the example of San Diego in <u>our previous blog</u>. This is after accounting for climate benefits of clean electricity, and the benefits of reduced need for electric grid investments – transmission and distribution – due to rooftop solar. Investor-owned utilities in California simply pass through the costs of electricity that they purchase or generate, so any excess payments for rooftop solar get passed onto other utility customers.

Because these overpayments are largely paid by customers who don't have solar, they increase electricity rates and bills for these customers. A recent <u>Next 10 report</u> estimates that non-solar customers pay anywhere between \$60 to \$200 more per year than they should be due to NEM overpayments. This issue is most pressing in San Diego where the report estimates that more than 15% of residential rates are due to NEM overpayments. NRDC's own analysis produced very similar estimates.

Multiple other experts conclude the same. Haas Energy Institute at UC Berkeley has extensively <u>written</u> about this issue; Massachusetts Institute of Technology released a <u>podcast</u> on how and why NEM causes these inequities; California's consumer advocates – <u>The Utilities Reform Network</u> and the <u>Public Advocates Office</u> – arrived at similar conclusions and are advocating for NEM reform alongside NRDC; the <u>CPUC identified</u> NEM overpayments as one of a few prominent reasons for rising electricity rates. And most recently, the Sierra Club has also explained <u>that</u> NEM overpayments are covered by those "who do not, or cannot, adopt rooftop solar themselves."

NEM benefits have largely gone to wealthier customers

Solar adopters are disproportionately wealthy and white according to recent <u>data</u>. In California, the bottom 40% of earners <u>account for only about 13%</u> of NEM customers. Although there has been a slight improvement in adoption trends by income class in the last few years, adoption trends are still nowhere close to being equitable. NEM is overly benefiting the wealthy at the expense of the poor.

Current NEM policy also doesn't overcome many of the barriers disadvantaged communities face to adopt solar. It's expensive upfront to install even though solar panel costs have been declining, installing solar can require roof repair and additional electric upgrades that can add costs, and many lower-income Californians are renters who don't have a say in major upgrades. This issue hasn't gone unnoticed. Environmental justice advocates have issued a <u>statement</u> acknowledging that their "communities have experienced structural barriers in accessing and benefiting from NEM. Data shows that NEM disproportionately benefits wealthier, white, single-family homeowners."

The CPUC's <u>recent paper</u> identifies "revenue shifts to lower-income non-participants from Net Energy Metering (NEM) and other DER" as something that must be actively managed for vulnerable customers.

It is vital to equity and climate progress to ensure affordable electric rates

Overpaying for rooftop solar is raising overall electric rates. Because lower income people pay more in utility bills as a percentage of their income than wealthier people, higher electricity rates are a significant burden. Coming out of this pandemic induced recession, vulnerable Californians are already facing an <u>electricity affordability crisis</u>: there is more than <u>one billion</u> <u>dollars</u> in utility debt among vulnerable communities.

Higher electric rates are also a problem for decarbonizing our economy. Transitioning from fossil fuel-guzzling cars, and gas-burning furnaces and water heaters to those powered by clean electricity are key tenets of fighting the climate crisis. If electricity becomes more expensive than fossil fuels, then it'll become harder for Californians to electrify their vehicles and homes. Research shows (see slides 11 and 12 <u>here</u>) that electric rates are already making electrification a tougher proposition than it should be.

Smart policy can support the continued growth of rooftop solar while achieving more equitable outcomes

To attain our clean energy goals, we need more solar electricity. But we need to do this the right way so that rooftop solar benefits *all* Californians, not only the wealthy. To that end, NEM 3.0 needs to be based on the actual benefits rooftop solar provides, support solar development where it most benefits the grid, encourage pairing solar with storage, and prioritize subsidies to lower income customers.

<u>NRDC's proposal</u> aims to address these needs by adjusting NEM payments to their true value, implementing an up-front subsidy that allows solar adopters to make back their investment within ten years, providing extra NEM benefits to low-income customers, and creating an equity fund to buy-down solar and other clean energy upgrades for disadvantaged communities.

NRDC also supports <u>Joint Recommendations</u> developed through negotiations with the <u>Public</u> <u>Advocates Office</u>, <u>The Utility Reform Network</u>, labor representatives, <u>California Wind Energy</u> <u>Association</u>, and the <u>Independent Energy Producers Association</u>.

There are two main differences between these recommendations and NRDC's proposal. The Joint Recommendations contain a time-limited "transition" NEM rate, which splits the difference between current policy and NRDC's proposal to give the solar industry time to transition to a NEM 3.0 policy. And the Joint Recommendations also ask for a managed transition for existing NEM customers to NEM 3.0. Both NRDC's proposal and the Joint Recommendations focus on the residential sector.

These proposals take a holistic view of how to make renewable energy more affordable for all Californians. They will also help California get to its economywide decarbonization goals in a more timely and equitable manner. This is the balanced solution that the state needs.



OUR WORK OUR EXPERTS OUR STORIES GET INVOLVED ABOUT US

EXPERT BLOG > MOHIT CHHABRA

California's Net Metering Reform: Necessary, Accurate, Fair

December 14, 2021 Mohit Chhabra

Net metering, which charges customers for energy consumed less the amount their solar panels produce, is a critical tool for advancing distributed solar. As the market takes off, as it has in California, this important policy must be kept up to date. Currently in California, it overpays solar customers and shifts fixed grid and societal charges that solar customers should pay onto other customers. This inordinate rate increase is unique to California and a sign of NEMs success, but it cuts against affordability and makes electrification of cars and buildings – critical to meet our decarbonization goals – a harder proposition.



The CPUC's Proposed Decision, if adopted, would institute significant reforms to the Commission's net metering (NEM) rate for residential customers with rooftop solar. This proposed decision is the culmination of a year-long proceeding at the CPUC.

The proposed decision modernizes California's NEM policy to meet the state's evolving electric grid and clean energy needs in a cost-effective and equitable manner. It accomplishes this by:

- Adopting more accurate price signals which encourage customers to pair rooftop solar with storage. Paired systems will help California ensure grid reliability and displace polluting fossil fuel generation that ramps up after sundown.
- Targeting a payback period of ten years for new storage and solar customers through electric bill savings.
- Providing cash incentives to customers on existing NEM rates to install storage if they voluntarily move to this new more accurate net billing tariff.

• Creating a novel equity fund with up to \$600 million to improve low-income customer access to distributed clean energy programs with strong consumer protections.

Additional details of this new Net Billing Tariff are summarized here by the CPUC. The Proposed Decision conducts a thorough evaluation of all competing proposals and expert testimony to reach a balance between further incentivizing rooftop solar and residential storage to meet our clean energy goals, promoting equitable rooftop solar and storage adoption, and making sure that these subsidies don't unduly burden Californians that don't have or cannot get rooftop solar.

The CPUC will vote on this proposed decision on January 27th, 2022 at the earliest.