



August 30, 2021

The Administrative Committee  
Association of Bay Area Governments (“ABAG”)

**Re: Letter of Support for Appeal of RHNA Numbers for Santa Clara County**

Dear President and ABAG Administrative Committee:

Green Foothills supports the Santa Clara County Appeal of its RHNA numbers and the reduction of units assigned to the unincorporated County area by 2000 units.

We support the appeal for the reasons stated in the County’s appeal, and in addition we will emphasize two points. First, while the flexibility of voluntary agreements between cities and counties to transfer numbers between jurisdictions is appreciated, it does not fix the methodological flaws that assigned the wrong numbers of housing on unincorporated lands that are either fully built out or are open space areas. As ABAG is fully aware, the RHNA process is not voluntary because voluntary processes will not work in such a difficult issue, so for ABAG to point to voluntary processes as a fix for the allocation it makes is something of a contradiction. And in this case, it is not certain that San Jose will follow through with its initial indication that it can absorb units assigned to the unincorporated County area - it has no obligation to do so. And there is zero certainty that this fix can be applied in the future.

Second and most important, in the absence of a city willing to take housing numbers incorrectly assigned to unincorporated County lands, then the assigning of housing numbers to what is essentially unincorporated open space without urban services will lead to one of two undesirable outcomes, and no desirable outcomes. The most likely outcome is that the housing numbers will not be built, a direct defeat of the housing goal in the RHNA process. There may then be undesirable consequences for the County due to various “carrots and sticks” in state law, but that does not make up for the loss of housing that is a direct consequence of the incorrect methodology. The less likely, but worse, outcome is that some version of the housing will actually be built on open space land. Very little will be affordable given how inappropriate and expensive the housing will be on lands without a developed infrastructure. It will also cause significant environmental harm, significantly above-average per-capita greenhouse gas emissions, and it will destroy open space that ABAG planning is supposed to preserve.

ABAG followed the correct process of assigning relatively little housing growth to unincorporate open space in the prior RHNA cycle. We recognize that a housing crisis exists today and do not dispute the overall housing numbers from ABAG, simply the numbers for unincorporated open space in Santa Clara County. We request that it return the modest increase in housing allocations for unincorporated county land in this cycle and in upcoming cycles.

**Local. Vocal. Effective.**

(650) 968-7243 • [info@greenfoothills.org](mailto:info@greenfoothills.org) • [greenfoothills.org](http://greenfoothills.org) • 3921 E Bayshore Road, Palo Alto, CA 94303



Please contact us with any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Brian Schmidt". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Brian Schmidt  
Legislative Advocacy Director



August 30, 2021

Mayor Jesse Arreguín, President  
Executive Board, Association of Bay Area Governments  
375 Beale Street, Suite 700  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
*Via E-mail to RHNA@bayareametro.gov*

RE: RHNA Appeal of Santa Clara County

Dear President Arreguín and ABAG Administration Committee,

The Law Foundation of Silicon Valley is the largest provider of civil legal services in Santa Clara County. We advocate for housing equity for low-income individuals and families throughout Silicon Valley. We strongly support ABAG's decision to allocate 3,125 new units to Santa Clara County in the latest Housing Element. We write to urge the ABAG Administration Committee to reject the County's appeal, which would vastly reduce this number, subverting the RHNA's ability to further its statutory objectives.

Santa Clara County's appeal threatens ABAG's compliance with the RHNA's statutory objectives, particularly the objective to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing (AFFH). ABAG designed the methodology, and the Equity Adjustment in particular, to meet both its existing objectives and the new AFFH objectives.

Santa Clara County's appeal seeks to reduce its share of the total RHNA from 3,125 units to 1,125 units – a decrease of nearly 65%, despite the County's own admission in November 2020 that it has the capacity to support building 2,000 units in urban unincorporated areas alone. Such a reduction would come at a time when housing inequality in Santa Clara County and Silicon Valley is higher than ever, and would perpetuate and exacerbate existing patterns of racial exclusion and inequity, directly counter to the expressed purpose of AFFH. Thus, ABAG must reject the County's appeal to avoid violating AFFH.

In addition to undercutting the RHNA, the County's appeal does not meet any of the statutory bases required for reducing allocations, as described in detail below.

## **I. The County Does Not Meet Any of the Bases for Appeals Based on Information Submitted through the Local Jurisdiction Survey**

Under Cal. Gov. Code 65584.05(b), the first possible ground for appeal is that the “council of governments...failed to adequately consider the information submitted pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 65584.04,” which is the information each jurisdiction provides in response to the local jurisdiction survey. Santa Clara County’s appeal alleges that the ABAG failed to adequately consider the following local issues: water and sewer capacity, availability of suitable land, and city annexation of county land.

### ***A. Lack of capacity for sewer or water service due to laws, regulations, actions, or decisions made by entities other than the local jurisdiction that “preclude[s] the jurisdiction from providing necessary infrastructure for additional development during the planning period” (Gov. Code 65584.04(e)(2)(A))***

In its appeal, Santa Clara County argues that it has limited sewer or water capacity to support the building of affordable housing in unincorporated areas, because the majority of unincorporated land is primarily rural and is “located outside of urban service areas that provide municipal sewer and water services.” However, stating that water and sewer capacity are currently limited does not explain how this “preclude[s] the jurisdiction from providing necessary infrastructure for additional development during the planning period” (Gov. Code 65584.04(e)(2)(A)). The County has already found ways to provide water and sewer services to residents currently living in unincorporated areas. Local water districts could work with the County to identify alternative sources, mechanisms, or ways to manage water while also accommodating the need for new housing. Multi-family homes are both more affordable and use less water than single-family homes per unit. Zoning for more multi-family housing in unincorporated areas could thereby help conserve water and ensure space for affordable housing.

### ***B. Availability of land suitable for urban development or for conversion to residential use (Gov. Code 65584.04(e)(2)(B)-(D))***

Santa Clara County’s appeal also argues that its land is protected from urban development under its General Plan, which aims to restrict the amount of development in rural areas. Because of this, the County asserts that it does not have enough unincorporated land to meet RHNA goals and that development on much of its unincorporated land would “inappropriately direct[] growth into rural areas designed for preservation.”

However, the County did not describe or provide evidence to show that it cannot accommodate the RHNA by rezoning other lands to higher densities than the minimum requirements for lower-income housing. The Housing Element can help address the County’s concerns through other

policies and programs that help facilitate more affordable housing development. The County's appeal also fails to account for the fact that much of the unincorporated land within the County, particularly near Stanford University and Moffett Field, is not classified as rural.

Additionally, in its appeal, the County states that most unincorporated urban areas *are* currently being developed for housing, but with single-family residences. The County fails to explain why zoning changes could not be implemented to allow for the growth of more affordable housing, such as multifamily residences, within the same areas.

The County provides little justification for its assertion that it cannot develop more housing on rural unincorporated land, other than its goals of sustaining biological diversity and mitigating the effects of climate change through the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. As mentioned elsewhere in this letter, creating more affordable housing in the County will help mitigate the effects of climate change, as California residents who currently travel from further inland to work in Santa Clara County will no longer need to do so, vastly reducing vehicle miles traveled. In addition, the County has not demonstrated why it cannot create sustainable, eco-friendly housing and infrastructure in these rural areas that would help mitigate the effects of climate change.

### ***C. Local factors not reflected in the jurisdiction survey***

Santa Clara County's appeal argues for a reduction based on reasons that are not captured in the local jurisdiction survey. Thus, they are not adequate grounds for appeal.

The County argues for a reduction because some of the unincorporated county land will eventually be annexed to incorporated cities within the county, and that the RHNA allocation would erode County policies designed to "enable [these] cities to plan for future growth in urban unincorporated areas." However, the County fails to provide a timeline for when annexation of unincorporated lands will occur, making it difficult to determine if or when adjacent cities will develop affordable housing on these lands. Further, the County's General Plan states that cities "have the *option* to annex the project area[s]," not that cities "*shall* annex the project area[s]" (emphasis added). This suggests that while the County wants cities adjacent to unincorporated areas to annex them, the cities themselves must first decide whether they wish to annex such areas. Finally, the County fails to demonstrate why these future annexations prohibit them from developing more affordable housing now, while the areas are still unincorporated.

The County Plan aims to focus development into incorporated areas specifically, leaving rural and unincorporated areas largely undeveloped. However, growth in these areas is necessary to meet the County's growing housing needs. Additionally, the law states that "If an annexation of unincorporated land to a city occurs after the council of governments...has made its final allocation...a portion of the county's allocation may be transferred to the city." Gov. Code (d)(1).

Given that the proposed annexations here will occur after the final allocations are complete, the County's allocations can be transferred later on if annexation occurs, rather than through the appeals process.

## **II. The County's Appeal Does Not Meet the Standards for Appeals Based on Application of the Methodology and Furtherance of the Statutory Objectives**

Under Cal. Gov. Code 65584.05(b), the second possible ground for appeal is that the "council of governments...failed to determine the share of the regional housing need in accordance with the information described in, and the methodology established pursuant to, Section 65584.04, and in a manner that furthers, and does not undermine, the intent of the objectives listed in subdivision (d) of Section 65584." This provision shows that the council of governments could have used other sources to develop the methodology, and that the appeal can challenge how it applied the methodology to the jurisdiction's allocation. If the legislature had wanted these "other sources" to serve as grounds for appeal, it would have referred to them more clearly in 65584.05(b). Moreover, the California Department of Housing and Community Development has approved ABAG's RHNA methodology and found that it does further and balance all five statutory objectives.

Santa Clara County argues that the methodology undermines statutory objectives to protect environmental and agricultural resources, encourage efficient development, and achieve greenhouse gas reduction targets. However, these arguments do not align with ABAG's housing element sites selection tool, which identifies Transit Rich Areas in rural areas set aside for preservation and identifies High Opportunity Areas on federal land and at Stanford University and Moffett Field. The RHNA does not dictate where allocations should be met within jurisdictions, but rather allows jurisdictions to plan for allocation through the housing element. To succeed on its appeal, the County would need to show that it would be impossible to meet its RHNA through higher densities on other available sites. Thus, its arguments are insufficient to prove that the RHNA methodology will undermine any statutory objectives.

The County also argues that increasing affordable housing in unincorporated areas would undermine environmental objectives because it would require more car ownership, thereby increasing vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions. However, this overlooks the fact that the individuals who would likely be moving into this housing are already commuting to Santa Clara County from other counties further inland, sometimes on a daily basis, due to the lack of affordable housing within the County. Therefore, an increase in affordable housing in unincorporated areas within the County would likely decrease vehicle miles traveled by allowing people to live closer to their workplaces and reducing their commutes.

## **III. Conclusion**

The County states in its appeal that its goals are to reduce urban sprawl and to minimize development in rural areas. However, if the County wants to reduce both urban and rural development, very little housing will be developed. As the rates of both homelessness and wealth inequality in the County continue to increase, more affordable housing must be developed wherever feasible, so that low-income residents are not forced to leave the County in increasing numbers. The County has not adequately demonstrated why both urban and rural development would be disfavored.

The County also contends that the RHNA allocation would duplicate longstanding efforts already being made through the Zoning Ordinance and the County Plan. However, those efforts do not appear to be working, as the rate of homelessness and wealth inequality continue to increase in Santa Clara County and throughout California.

For the above reasons, we ask ABAG to deny Santa Clara County's RHNA appeal.

Sincerely,

Tessa Baizer  
Staff Attorney



**Local Agency  
Formation Commission  
of Santa Clara County**

777 North First Street  
Suite 410  
San Jose, CA 95112

**SantaClaraLAFCO.org**

**Commissioners**

Rich Constantine  
Susan Ellenberg  
Sequoia Hall  
Sergio Jimenez  
Linda J. LeZotte  
Mike Wasserman  
Susan Vicklund Wilson

**Alternate Commissioners**

Cindy Chavez  
Maya Esparza  
Yoriko Kishimoto  
Russ Melton  
Terry Trumbull

**Executive Officer**  
Neelima Palacherla

August 30, 2021

VIA EMAIL: [RHNA@bayareametro.gov](mailto:RHNA@bayareametro.gov)

The Administrative Committee  
Association of Bay Area Governments (“ABAG”)

**RE: SUPPORT FOR COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA’S APPEAL OF THE 2023-2031 RHNA CYCLE ALLOCATION**

Dear President and ABAG Administrative Committee:

Please accept this letter in support of the County of Santa Clara’s appeal of the 2023-2031 RHNA Cycle Allocation.

Santa Clara LAFCO concurs with the very significant concerns outlined in the County’s appeal, the supporting information provided by the County regarding the unique facts on the ground and material limitations, and the existence and importance of the longstanding County General Plan policies that focus growth within urban areas to combat sprawl and preserve farmlands in the unincorporated area.

The County of Santa Clara’s allocation of 3,125 housing units inappropriately directs growth into rural areas designated for preservation and would force the County to upend decades of successful policies that enable cities to plan for future growth in the urban unincorporated areas within their Urban Service Areas. This allocation does not consider availability of services in the rural areas and ignores the fact that the County, consistent with its longstanding cooperative agreement with the cities and LAFCO, does not provide urban services such as sewers within the unincorporated rural areas. This allocation could catalyze greenfield development in Santa Clara County, resulting in a development pattern that is contrary to the local and regional efforts being made to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and create sustainable and resilient communities. Therefore, Santa Clara LAFCO strongly supports the County’s request for reduction of the County’s assigned housing units.

As noted in the appeal, the County of Santa Clara has long been a leader in funding and building affordable housing within the incorporated and urbanized areas of the county. With the passage of Measure A – a \$950 million housing bond in November 2016, thousands of new affordable housing units have been and will be built within Santa Clara County cities. Furthermore, the County continues to purchase parcels in cities and repurpose existing County-owned sites to build affordable housing to address the regional shortage. Again, all of these affordable housing units will be counted towards the individual cities’ RHNA requirements.

Santa Clara LAFCO’s mission is to curb urban sprawl, preserve farmland and open space, and encourage efficient delivery of services. We have been participating in the RHNA process over the last year and have provided comment letters to ABAG expressing concerns about the RHNA allocation for unincorporated Santa Clara County. We have also discussed these concerns with ABAG staff at joint meetings that included staff from County of Santa Clara and some cities in Santa Clara County.



Santa Clara LAFCO respectfully requests that ABAG reduce the County's allocation and reallocate the County's assigned housing units, accordingly.

Thank you.

Sincerely,



Neelima Palacherla  
Executive Officer

CC: LAFCO Members  
Jacqueline Onciano, Santa Clara County Planning Director

**Board of Directors**

Kevin Zwick, Chair  
*United Way Bay Area*

Gina Dalma, *Vice Chair*  
*Silicon Valley Community Foundation*

Candice Gonzalez, *Secretary*  
*Sand Hill Property Company*

Andrea Osgood, *Treasurer*  
*Eden Housing*

Shiloh Ballard  
*Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition*

Bob Brownstein  
*Working Partnerships USA*

Amie Fishman  
*Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern CA*

Ron Gonzales  
*Hispanic Foundation of Silicon Valley*

Javier Gonzalez  
*Google*

Poncho Guevara  
*Sacred Heart Community Service*

Janice Jensen  
*Habitat for Humanity East Bay/Silicon Valley*

Janikke Klem

Jan Lindenthal  
*MidPen Housing*

Jennifer Loving  
*Destination: Home*

Mary Murtagh  
*EAH Housing*

Chris Neale  
*The Core Companies*

Kelly Snider  
*Kelly Snider Consulting*

Jennifer Van Every  
*The Van Every Group*

**STAFF**  
Leslye Corsiglia  
*Executive Director*

**TRANSMITTED VIA EMAIL**

Mayor Jesse Arreguin, President  
Executive Board, Association of Bay Area Governments  
375 Beale Street, Suite 700  
San Francisco, CA 94105

**RE: RHNA Appeal for the County of Santa Clara**

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administration Committee:

On behalf of SV@Home and our members, we write today to express our support for the County of Santa Clara's appeal of its draft Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) and urge ABAG to support the appeal. We agree with the County's bases for appeal and believe that ABAG should shift its RHNA allocation to its surrounding incorporated jurisdictions.

The County of Santa Clara has asked for a reduction of its RHNA due to a lack of available land suitable for urban development. The County has had General Plan policies in place that aim to focus development within incorporated areas, and for 25 years, the County has enacted County-wide agreements with neighboring jurisdictions to direct development growth toward its incorporated areas and urban parts of its unincorporated areas to preserve agricultural land while encouraging compact development in existing urban areas. County policy states that the urban unincorporated areas will eventually be annexed into its respective cities, and the County works with cities to ensure that all utilities and services to urban unincorporated areas are provided for by the respective cities.

The County of Santa Clara's policy is in line with RHNA's statutory objective of promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity; protecting environmental and agricultural resources; encouraging efficient development patterns; and achieving greenhouse gas reduction targets (Government Code 65584(d)(2)). We are not only in a regional housing crisis but also experiencing the consequential effects of climate change. Reallocating the County's RHNA to surrounding incorporated jurisdictions will help mitigate both crises.

Given the region's rising concerns about fire hazards and the need for increased water infrastructure efficiency due to the ongoing drought, we believe that it is even more important to encourage denser development in existing incorporated areas. Reducing urban sprawl by promoting climate-smart growth will create more mixed-use, transit-rich, affordable communities in the surrounding incorporated areas in Santa Clara County. Incorporated jurisdictions in Santa Clara County will need to take significant steps that diverge from current land use planning and policies to plan for their assigned RHNA obligations, but these are necessary changes to plan for more sustainable and equitable cities while preserving our environmental and agricultural resources like Coyote Valley.

August 30, 2021

Re: RHNA Appeal for the County of Santa Clara

Page 2 of 2

We strongly encourage ABAG to strongly support Santa Clara County's efforts to keep its county growth policies in place and re-allocate its 2,000 RHNA mandated homes to its surrounding incorporated jurisdictions.

Sincerely,

Mathew Reed, Director of Policy

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jeffrey Micko](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 11:29:29 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jeffrey Micko

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kristin Mercer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 11:15:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

The intent of RHNA policies is to promote urban infill around transit hubs, in order to reduce unnecessary auto use, reduce need for new infrastructure, and prevent conversion of vital agricultural and green belts. The intent is to combat climate change.

ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding development in greenbelt lands.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and adjusting RNHA to promote the original intent.

Kristin Mercer

[REDACTED]  
Sincerely,  
Kristin Mercer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [James Marshall](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 10:39:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
James Marshall

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Deniz Bolbol](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 10:00:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by AT LEAST 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000+ housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Deniz Bolbol

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Martha Cohn](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 8:49:05 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Martha Cohn

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mojgan Mahdizadeh](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 7:49:16 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mojgan Mahdizadeh

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Skyler Dovi](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 6:56:02 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Skyler Dovi

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Deborah Brooks](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:36:45 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Brooks

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [April Biggs](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:28:19 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
April Biggs

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Stephanie Reader](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:44:38 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Stephanie Reader

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Shannon Cosentino-Roush](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:00:30 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

In the face of the climate crisis, extreme fire danger in the Wildlife Urban Interface, exponential biodiversity loss, and clear need for long overdue paradigm shifts, we don't have time for anything less than smart, accountable, and forward-thinking leadership! This doesn't mean we continue to make the same-old mistakes as we have in the past, under the auspices of 'solving problems' that they never actually solved. It means we start making the decisions that are right for the future, not just for the moment. This means we STOP the sprawl into the open space that's necessary for our watershed, for carbon sinks, and for our spiritual health and we start only allowing SMART growth that is focused on transit-oriented development, infill, and green design. This is 2021, it's time to get on board!

That's why I'm asking YOU to please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Shannon Cosentino-Roush

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Norma Jean Galiher](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:10:03 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I am a long time resident here and a lifelong environmentalist. What we have in the Bay Area by way of unspoiled open space is admirable, and at least recently sustainability has been a major consideration in plans for where to build and what land to protect from development. This is of the utmost importance to many of us whose values these choices reflect.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Norma Jean Galiher

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Holly Hunt](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:17:45 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

In our current moment of environmental crisis, with wildfires raging across the West as our climate becomes hotter and drier, building sprawl housing in areas without urban services seems suicidal. I may not live in California, but I have been breathing the smoke from your wildfires for weeks on end, and I see the irreparable damage of similarly reckless and short-sighted planning decisions everywhere around me in Colorado.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Holly Hunt

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rhoda Moore](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:39:22 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rhoda Moore

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Robert Chavez](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:33:55 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Robert Chavez

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Ernestine Machado](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:07:59 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Ernestine Machado

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sandy Cold Shapero](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:02:50 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sandy Cold Shapero

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lisa Frey](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 5:58:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Frey

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sue Dileanis](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 5:34:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I was quite concerned when I recently learned that your organization is encouraging housing in rural unincorporated areas. Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units.

I support more housing in the Bay Area, but that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sue Dileanis

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Theresa Shiels](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 4:09:36 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Theresa Shiels

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jean and Art Dana](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 3:41:40 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Although we need more and affordable housing, we must be aware of the impact on our precious land

Sincerely,  
Jean and Art Dana

Sincerely,  
Jean and Art Dana

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Peter Buranzon](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 2:22:37 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Peter Buranzon

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Victor Russell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 1:25:30 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Victor Russell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Connie Rogers](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 1:24:22 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

It is very bad policy to locate housing in rural areas where access to services is difficult or non-existent. Furthermore it is dangerous because it puts housing in the path of wildfires. Housing needs to be in cities only! I was a founding member of the South Santa Clara Joint Planning Committee and am a strong supporter of the County General Plan which discourages rural residential housing.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Connie Rogers

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sharon L Luna](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 1:23:24 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sharon L Luna

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [JOAN SHERLOCK](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:54:03 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
JOAN SHERLOCK

[REDACTED]

To: [Redacted]  
From: [Redacted]  
Subject: [Redacted]  
Date: [Redacted]

Internal Use

Dear President Arango and ARAG Adults and Council:

In May 2022, State Team or Practitioner requested an audit of the materials used to conduct a the BFOIA, provide a letter or mail to be in his or her name, no high and sensitive data. <https://www.fda.gov/oc/foia> is a public website for any and all information. Please read the results of the audit before determining Santa Clara County's knowing violation.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
[Redacted]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Karen Smith](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:45:06 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Karen Smith

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Karen Kirschling](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:44:51 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Karen Kirschling

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Chuck Dougherty](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:43:51 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

ABAG has ruined the Bay Area by failing to protect the environment and open spaces. This look at the commute traffic every day, twice a day. Congestion. Adding more housing exacerbates an already intolerable situation. This is why more people are leaving the Bay Area than arriving. Do not believe anything they tell you because they are controlled by real estate development interests.

Sincerely,  
Chuck Dougherty

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michael Hundt](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:27:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

There are hundreds of open areas (In-fill) within the urban space. There is no need to move outside current urban areas at all. There are several solutions to meeting the growing population housing need, for example allowing buildings to be 5 - 8 stories tall, as you find all over the world. Eliminating useless setbacks and eliminating space-wasting parking lots.

Get over it and let's move on. Make money where the actual opportunities are. Get out of your restricted, stifling, mind frame.

Sincerely,  
Michael Hundt

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Evan Jane Kriss](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:22:34 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Evan Jane Kriss

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michael LeClair](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:20:01 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michael LeClair

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Linda OMaley](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 12:19:50 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Linda OMaley

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Naomi Mindelzun](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:55:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Naomi Mindelzun

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [kelly lanspa](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:49:51 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
kelly lanspa

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Thomas Schryver](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:36:39 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Schryver

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Swanee Edwards](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:29:31 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built. I have worked tirelessly along side hundreds of other activists to Save and Protect Coyote Valley over the past 30 years. PLEASE, do NOT allow sprawl in Coyote Valley!

Sincerely,  
Swanee Edwards

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Eric Acedo](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:17:08 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Eric Acedo

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Bill Rankin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 11:14:21 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Bill Rankin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Suzanne DeSelover-Sallie](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:58:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Suzanne DeSelover-Sallie

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Celia Dixon-Garrigues](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:46:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

With all the recent news regarding human-induced climate change, wildfires and a 22-year long drought, isn't it time of look to a different future and think about keeping some open space for wildlife and weather protection? I appreciate your consideration of this matter.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Celia Dixon-Garrigues

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Karin Eckelmeyer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:32:24 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Karin Eckelmeyer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [christina medina](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:31:28 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
christina medina

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Christopher Telomen](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:28:37 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Christopher Telomen

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jenne Sindoni](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:26:47 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jenne Sindoni

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Gary Bailey](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 10:12:46 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

It is critically important for our future and the future of our children, grandchildren, and their children and grandchildren that you uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. Of course we need more housing in the Bay Area, but that housing should not be sprawl. Housing should not be on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow accomplished. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth in these areas, and not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Please protect the environment and reallocate the 2000 housing units to places that are far more suitable. Please promote infill development.

Sincerely,  
Gary Bailey

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan Wilson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:55:05 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Susan Wilson

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jacqueline Deely](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:53:10 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Deely

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carlos Muller Pereira](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:53:05 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Carlos Muller Pereira

Sincerely,  
Carlos Muller Pereira

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [John Brazil](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:45:48 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
John Brazil

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Christal Niederer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:45:04 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Christal Niederer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Colleen Cabot](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:35:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Colleen Cabot

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [annabel boissevain](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:14:18 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
annabel boissevain

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Elizabeth Taylor](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:13:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Taylor

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rick Shrum](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:11:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Stop trying to mess things up!

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Rick Shrum

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mona Kaur](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:05:59 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mona Kaur

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Greg Woock](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:02:55 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

As a resident off unincorporated Santa Clara County for over a decade and the resident of a 100 year old working winery, I can attest to the growth we have seen. On Friday nights, I cannot eve exit my property for the line of Cars on Watsonville Rd. Last Friday, I counted 64 before I was able to turn. This is due to the massive expansions in housing. We are also in constant fear of our well water running dry due to increased usage.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Greg Woock

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Cassandra Sharp](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 9:01:53 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Cassandra Sharp

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rebecca Schoenenberger](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:55:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built. These homes will not only impact the environment, but continue to add to our traffic. We need more centralized housing, not housing on the fringe of our city, which takes away from the reason we all love to live here - the natural beauty of the hills that surround us. It is critical that we must preserve open space, because there is so little left, and once it's gone we can never get that back. Let's not live with regret, but live knowing we are preserving open space for our children, and their children's children. Making decisions only for the 'now' is irresponsible & selfish, let us plan for a livable & sustainable future.

Sincerely,  
Rebecca Schoenenberger

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Melissa Mendes Campos](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:54:06 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I am writing to urge you to uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2,000 units. While we need more housing in the Bay Area, it does not make sense to put that housing on unincorporated lands without access to urban services. Additionally, the 1,000% increase from the prior cycle is unjustified, infeasible, and would cause significant environmental damage and sprawl if it were put into effect. ABAG should use an appropriate methodology for planning housing, not rely on cities to take units from county lands that ABAG should not have sprawl housing allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the needed 2,000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and able to be responsibly built.

Sincerely,  
Melissa Mendes Campos

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Anil Gangolli](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:49:43 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Anil Gangolli

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mirna Saab](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:40:47 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mirna Saab

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kathryn Choudhury](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:39:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Choudhury

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [S.Chapek](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:37:46 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
S. Chapek

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Holly Lane](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:37:36 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Holly Lane

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [R.Zierikzee](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:36:15 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
R. Zierikzee

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [David Marancik](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:31:09 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Listen to us. This is a bad plan and should be stopped to consider the correct way to plan our future communities. Do not rush this because it is not well thought out and will have long term severe negative impact.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
David Marancik

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [RL Smith](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:29:13 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
RL Smith

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [John Gonsalves](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:13:17 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
John Gonsalves

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Chloe Van Loon](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:08:18 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Chloe Van Loon

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Christine James](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:02:35 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Christine James

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [David Poeschel](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 8:02:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
David Poeschel

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Megan Hungerford](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:56:17 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Megan Hungerford

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kathryn Murdock](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:47:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Murdock

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Charmon Ashby](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:43:23 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Charmon Ashby

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Matthew Burrows](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:38:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Burrows

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nancy Federspiel](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:30:57 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth in these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Federspiel

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Christi Cerna](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:24:34 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Christi Cerna

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Stacie Wolny](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:21:28 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While I support more (truly affordable for non-rich people) housing in the Bay Area, that housing should be infill, not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to stop sprawl, protect the environment, and reallocate the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Stacie Wolny

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carol and Tom Shall](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:19:55 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

We are already being overrun with new housing. The traffic and infrastructure impact will be a huge negative. Thank you,

Sincerely,  
Carol and Tom Shall

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mary Shabbott](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:03:59 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mary Shabbott

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Cyndee Newick](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:02:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Cyndee Newick

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Patricia Holbert](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:02:18 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Holbert

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [George Thomas](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 7:02:07 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

We own 78 unincorporated acres outside the urban growth boundary but surrounded by residential development in the city of Morgan Hill. The City of Morgan Hill has grown over 20 percent in the past 10 years and with uncontrollable building continuing has caused continued strain on existing services. To expect the unincorporated areas to grow at this rate is unsubstantiable and a detriment to agricultural sustainability. We are in the Williamson Act as our family was before us. We support our belief in open space by having our land grazed by cattle and supporting carbon sequestration. Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
George Thomas

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [mark anderton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:54:24 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
mark anderton

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Deborah Crooks](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:52:59 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Crooks

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Amy Harlib](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:51:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Amy Harlib

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jenne Sindoni](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:48:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jenne Sindoni

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michael Wittig](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 6:34:01 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michael Wittig

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [.Michele Nihipali](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 4:42:53 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michele Nihipali

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Paulette Rinck](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 2:00:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Paulette Rinck

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Anuradha Kolhatkar](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 25, 2021 1:00:36 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Anuradha Kolhatkar

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Allan Campbell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:41:50 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Allan Campbell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Fred Rinne](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:33:47 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Fred Rinne

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Will Wyman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:31:47 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Will Wyman

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Allan Campbell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:14:44 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Please oppose building housing in areas like the Coyote Valley which should be protected. New housing should be in the valleys not in the hills.

Sincerely,  
Allan Campbell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Denise Louie](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:20:31 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Denise Louie

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Liana Palmer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:35:03 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please stop the obscene and unnecessary !?@\$@!!! urban sprawl. Build within the existing developed footprint. Get creative. Repurpose and rejuvenate what we already trampled on. It's not 1960 anymore. We know better 60 years later. Spare ALL of Coyote Valley. Every square foot. We all deserve it.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Liana Palmer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Irv Brenner](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:26:02 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Irv Brenner

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [SUSAN GABBARD](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:01:35 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
SUSAN GABBARD

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Virginia Van Kuran](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:59:33 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Virginia Van Kuran

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Valerie Baldwin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:42:41 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

More houses, more houses... What is the matter with you people. Not enough water, wildfires, animal extinction, crowded roads. Wake up!

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Valerie Baldwin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Helen Clark](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:30:26 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Helen Clark

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kily Tracy](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 8:18:22 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kily Tracy

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carla Holmes](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:58:13 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Carla Holmes

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Karen Toyohara](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:56:37 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Karen Toyohara

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [karen zamel](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:53:00 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
karen zamel

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [pinkyjain pan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:50:55 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
pinkyjain pan

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [HOLLY PELKING](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:49:52 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
HOLLY PELKING

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Alie Victorine](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:47:58 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Alie Victorine

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Patricia Blevins](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:34:52 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Blevins

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Paul Myer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:33:23 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Paul Myer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Alice Anne Martineau](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 7:21:37 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Alice Anne Martineau

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Amy Wilson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:56:26 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Amy Wilson

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Reevyn Aronson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:47:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Reevyn Aronson

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Robert Chavez](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:29:48 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Robert Chavez

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kermit Cuff](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:27:50 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kermit Cuff

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Linda Wolf](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:20:31 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Linda Wolf

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Giulianna Pendleton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:18:23 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Giulianna Pendleton

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lincoln Fong](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:16:36 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lincoln Fong

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Annette McMillan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 6:11:06 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Annette McMillan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Janet Burchinal](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 5:58:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Janet Burchinal

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carolyn Crow](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 5:49:59 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Crow

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Janet Pittman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 5:43:21 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Janet Pittman

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Arthur Webb](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 5:24:46 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Arthur Webb

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [christine morgan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 5:13:07 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
christine morgan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jane Dalpino](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:59:21 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Did you hear about the lack of water in California? We need more houses like we need more drought! Get real and stop trying to cover every bit of open land with more dwellings!!!

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jane Dalpino

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Stephen Lubin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:58:21 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Lubin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Allen Leinwand](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:46:42 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Allen Leinwand

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kathryn Choudhury](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:46:19 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Choudhury

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Catherine Trejo](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:44:35 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

I have resided in this county since 1959, and I remember open spaces, orchards and clear starry nights. Let's preserve what we can for future generations!

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Trejo

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Howard Friedman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:39:19 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Howard Friedman

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan Lessin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:34:53 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Susan Lessin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Margery Gray](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:28:08 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Margery Gray

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Steve Quon](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:25:04 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I am concerned about protecting the Coyote Valley from urban sprawl.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services.

The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Steve Quon

Sincerely,  
Steve Quon

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Alex Ross](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:23:38 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built, and thanks for reading my message.

Sincerely,  
Alex Ross

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Noreen Suczek](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:16:55 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Noreen Suczek

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jeff Ligouri](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:09:52 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jeff Ligouri

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jennifer Will](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:06:35 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Will

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Craig and Jan Edgerton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:03:55 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Craig and Jan Edgerton

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [DEVIN McCORMICK](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 4:02:02 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
DEVIN McCORMICK

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rose Navarra](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:58:50 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rose Navarra

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michael Dorer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:56:07 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michael Dorer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rodney Kirk](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:47:54 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Once our key supportive land areas are gone, there is no turning back and all will be lost. What will be lost? The ability for natural systems including plant, animal, and human life to thrive and exist!

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rodney Kirk

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Joy Joyner](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:35:13 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Joy Joyner

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Ellen Hall](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:33:21 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Ellen Hall

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [genevieve Deppong](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:30:18 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
genevieve Deppong

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [L Nelson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 3:27:45 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
L Nelson

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michelle Lieberman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:58:02 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

As a resident of Morgan Hill and a farmer, I ask you to keep new housing to the existing urban centers and preserve one of the only pieces of open space and agriculture land left on our valley floor! Nobody wants to have to extend our already strained city services out to another rural area. Please don't destroy this ecologically important, beautiful area for yet another housing development.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Lieberman

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Richard Placone](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:45:39 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Richard Placone

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] behalf of [thalia lubin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:41:55 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
thalia lubin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Bruce Frank](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:34:56 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Bruce Frank

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Singgih Tan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:29:17 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there. There is space for housing by efficiently utilizing already developed land, for example, the vast wasted space in mostly empty parking lot of strip malls.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Singgih Tan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Denis O'Neal](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 2:02:04 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Denis O'Neal

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Brian Carr](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:54:03 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Santa Clara County's last remaining open spaces , like Coyote Valley, have been subjected to development proposals for years. The people of the County have invested great effort to preserve these rare assets in an area that has been subjected to unrestricted development and sprawl in the past.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Brian Carr

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Shirley Chiu](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:53:39 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Shirley Chiu

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [michael hayes](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:50:32 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
michael hayes

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Chris Hyland](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:42:58 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Chris Hyland

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Metra Richert](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:40:52 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Metra Richert

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Wendy Hafkenschiel](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:38:50 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Wendy Hafkenschiel

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [G Caviglia](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:33:53 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
G Caviglia

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Laura Quemada](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:27:34 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

While I don't like to see urban sprawl in my own community of Morgan Hill, I would rather see it where homes already exist rather than on our agricultural lands which give us breathing space.

Sincerely,  
Laura Quemada

Sincerely,  
Laura Quemada

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Charles Smith](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:18:45 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Santa Clara residents want open space between San Jose and Morgan Hill. We do not want urban sprawl. We want riparian corridors and possible flood plains to remain in Coyote Valley. This space also provides recreation and farming opportunities which would be lost by crowding more housing in to this corridor. Housing should be built in areas that can already support utilities and other infrastructure needed for residences.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Charles Smith

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Megan Moran](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:15:49 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Megan Moran

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Russ Beebe](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:15:03 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Russ Beebe

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [David Clare](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:13:09 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
David Clare

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [John Ryan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:12:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
John Ryan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Patricia Blair](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:06:04 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Blair

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Dim Don Juan Trump](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:03:33 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Dim Don Juan Trump

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Erin Barca](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 1:01:27 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Erin Barca

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Gerald Giles](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:57:42 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built. Please protect our green belts. Doing so will slow climate change.

Sincerely,  
Gerald Giles

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Maureen Fitzgerald](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:55:34 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Maureen Fitzgerald

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [HARRY GOERTZ](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:53:00 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Open space is too valuable to pave over and create more sprawl. Housing density and better mass transit will prove to be a solution that will be better for everyone.

Sincerely,  
HARRY GOERTZ

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Noah Haydon](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:47:04 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Noah Haydon

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nancy Martin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:43:47 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Martin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Berta Jimenez](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:40:40 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Berta Jimenez

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Glenda Voss](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:40:21 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Glenda Voss

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Patty Linder](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:40:09 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Patty Linder

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Josh Quigley](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:37:20 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Josh Quigley

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Judith Downing](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:34:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Judith Downing

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Gina White](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:32:11 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Gina White

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kendra Knight](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:31:31 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kendra Knight

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Peggy Hennessee](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:30:47 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Open space is off limits.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Peggy Hennessee

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Emily Renzel](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:21:25 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Emily Renzel

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mandlyn McClellan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:16:47 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mandlyn McClellan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Barbara Canup](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:16:47 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Canup

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [MORENA PICHARDO](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:14:08 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
MORENA PICHARDO

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lisane Drouin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:09:06 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lisane Drouin

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Penny Noel](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:08:07 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

PLEASE !!!!!

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Penny Noel

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Cindy Wright](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:05:48 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Wright

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Dian Teixeira](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:02:10 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Dian Teixeira

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Woody Collins](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 12:00:29 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Woody Collins

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [susan smith](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:59:43 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
susan smith

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Thomas Ferrito](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:55:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Ferrito

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [James Feichtl](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:54:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
James Feichtl

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Ponti Manolides](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:48:16 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Ponti Manolides

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Bill Leikam](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:47:59 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Bill Leikam

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [KAREN STEPHENSON](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:46:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
KAREN STEPHENSON

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kristine Karnos](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:39:56 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kristine Karnos

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Charles Hammerstad](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:37:25 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Charles Hammerstad

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Miguel Vargas](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:37:01 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Miguel Vargas

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [John Petrak](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:36:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
John Petrak

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [JAnE Go](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:34:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Stop threatening the last of our native plant and animal areas. We need high density housing near transit centers not in valuable natural areas.

Jane

Sincerely,  
JAnE Go

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Steve Berman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:34:09 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Steve Berman

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Cynthia Leeder](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:31:39 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Leeder

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lisa Bettendorf](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:25:41 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Bettendorf

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Steven Smith](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:25:28 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Steven Smith

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sarah Kim](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:24:09 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sarah Kim

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Merrily Robinson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:23:37 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Merrily Robinson

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [LaRita Desimpel](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:22:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

KEEP OUR WILDLANDS WILD — NO DEVELOPMENT IN COYOTE VALLEY

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
LaRita Desimpel

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Richard Lanman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:22:03 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Richard Lanman

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Elise Frank](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:19:32 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Elise Frank

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sharon Meyers](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:19:00 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

We simply must hold the line on building on these lands that are currently simply open spaces with no services whatsoever. We must protect the open land currently around our cities. When I drive to LA down I-5 and get to Santa Clarita I start seeing building literally everywhere with no end. Do we really want to look like San Fernando Valley and other LA outlying areas? I for one, do not. It breaks my heart. We need open spaces around us, the earth needs breathing space. San Jose is very active building multi story high density residence neighborhoods. Much of this construction replaces older larger dwellings or light industry that has moved out. This is smart when decent schools and traffic flow accompany the construction. Destroying what little open land we still have is not smart.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sharon Meyers

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Stepheny McGraw](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:09:44 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Stepheny McGraw

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rea Freedom](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:09:01 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rea Freedom

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Karthikeyan Gopal](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:08:09 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Karthikeyan Gopal

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Ross Heitkamp](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:08:06 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Additionally, ABAG should be completely revisiting its housing goals to have them align with the current and perpetual drought conditions of the west coast. While our water supply is decreasing is no time for our housing and population to be increasing. Please spend some of your time and resources to push back against the building of more commercial space that fuels the housing demand.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Ross Heitkamp

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [James Reber](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:06:51 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
James Reber

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [M.Singh](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:06:41 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
M Singh

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Csthy Rubin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:06:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Csthy Rubin

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Eve Egan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:06:24 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Eve Egan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Richard Brown](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:05:55 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Richard Brown

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Heidi Gillispie](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:03:48 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Heidi Gillispie

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Chris Loo](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:03:18 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Chris Loo

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [EM Wilkins](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 11:01:38 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
EM Wilkins

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jill McAnally](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:59:32 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jill McAnally

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jeanine Peek](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:58:00 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jeanine Peek

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kate Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:56:21 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Coyote Valley does not need to be destroyed by housing. We need open space to thrive. The flora and fauna need this space.

Sincerely,  
Kate Ashley

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sammarye Lewis](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:55:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sammarye Lewis

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mary E.Martin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:55:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please do all you can to uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mary E. Martin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michael Kutilek](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:52:43 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michael Kutilek

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Laura Robichek](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:49:00 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units.

While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Laura Robichek

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Darlene THorne](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:48:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Darlene THorne

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Judy Smith](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:47:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Judy Smith

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Diane Palacio](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:42:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Diane Palacio

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Chris Spenner](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:41:14 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Chris Spenner

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [jeannie duisenberg](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:41:08 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
jeannie duisenberg

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carlin Black](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:40:29 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Carlin Black

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Greg Haskell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:39:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Greg Haskell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Bree Haskell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:39:38 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Bree Haskell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Janet Ball](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:39:02 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Janet Ball

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [terry trumbull](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:38:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
terry trumbull

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sara Haugen](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:36:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sara Haugen

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rick Home](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:35:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rick Home

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Julie Allingham](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:33:57 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Julie Allingham

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Dan Quinn](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:33:04 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

ABAG, please live up to your stated objective: "promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity, the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, the encouragement of efficient development patterns, and the achievement of the region's greenhouse gas reductions targets." Allocating much more housing to remote rural areas, far from transportation, city services, hospitals, employment -- even sewers! -- does not help our regional housing problem. We need to encourage infill development, closer to transportation, jobs, and all. Please reduce the requirements on rural areas -- in San Mateo County as well as Santa Clara! Let's not force the "solution" to one problem bring additional challenges as we face global warming and wildfires.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Dan Quinn

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kathy Goldmacker](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:33:00 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kathy Goldmacker

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Joel Gartland](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:32:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Joel Gartland

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Janet Davis](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:31:11 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

We are seeing the dire effects of climate change: fires in California, horrendous floods in Tennessee and hurricanes on the East Coast. One way to mitigate these effects is to protect the wild areas and trees. Urban sprawl is a big contributor to the increased impact of climate change, not just by paving over the land, but by providing highways to more remote residential areas, thus creating more pollution. Urban infill is a better solution: particularly ADUs.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Janet Davis

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kathryn Hedges](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:30:54 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I am a resident of [REDACTED], and an advocate for affordable housing with People Acting in Community Together (PACT). I have been attending trainings on the Housing Element through the Law Foundation of Silicon Valley to understand the criteria for appropriate sites for affordable housing. Only sites that could feasibly be developed as affordable housing can be included in the Housing Element inventory to meet affordable housing goals. Sites that can only be developed as luxury housing to absorb extra costs are not eligible for inclusion under the updated criteria, in response to attempts to pad the inventory with land that can't be developed as affordable housing.

Sites on these unincorporated rural lands are ineligible for inclusion in the Housing Element for several reasons.

1. The cost of extending infrastructure to these areas will make them too expensive for affordable housing development.
2. Affordable housing must have access to grocery shopping, public amenities, schools, employment, and medical facilities even to people who can't afford cars.
3. Sites in wildland/urban interface areas with increased wildfire risk are ineligible for the Housing Element.
4. Sites in environmentally sensitive areas are ineligible for the Housing Element.
5. Development outside urbanized areas that requires commuting to urban areas for employment contributes to auto emissions and climate change. You can't require that all residents work remotely or drive electric cars (and even electric cars require energy generation somewhere).

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kathryn Hedges

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan Bryan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:29:39 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please do not push Santa Clara County to put housing sprawl into Coyote Valley and other open space areas under its jurisdiction. The idea of mandating more housing is to reduce pollution - from people commuting in single-person cars from long distances each day.

I agree that the Bay Area needs lots more housing. My city of Menlo Park has been asked to build 3,000 more units. But we were asked to do that near transportation, to build "in-fill" in the already urban areas. We are also being asked to allow mother-in-law cottages, or AGU's in single-family neighborhoods. We are being asked to allow duplexes and triplexes in single family areas.

Please specify that the housing we all know should NOT go into current open space in Santa Clara County.

It's a travesty to put housing on open space that has not been developed and not expect long-distance commuting to stop. Only building in urban areas and improving public transportation will stop long-distance, single-person commuting.

Coyote Valley, in particular, is a wild-life corridor for apex species to do their "long-distance commutes" -- to have room to roam and find mates, foster families, etc.

I visited one of the first National Parks in Costa Rica. It was so surrounded by urban sprawl that the top predator species left were raccoons and monkeys -- and they were over-running the place. It was pitiful.

Thank you for protecting the environment, as the law you are trying to follow was meant to do. Please honor the intent of the law, not take the easy way out of pushing sprawl into precious open space.

Sincerely,  
Susan Bryan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Ted Fishman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:27:57 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Ted Fishman

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Larry Simi](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:25:16 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Larry Simi

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Karin Sztuk](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:23:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Karin Sztuk

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kris Nill-Snow](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:23:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kris Nill-Snow

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nan Singh-Bowman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:22:30 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built. Don't destroy our wild places!

Sincerely,  
Nan Singh-Bowman

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [laurent coudrelle](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:22:28 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
laurent coudrelle

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [john redstrom](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:21:05 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
john redstrom

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Charlene Henley](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:20:33 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Charlene Henley

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Joyce Carlson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:20:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Joyce Carlson

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sue Moynahan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:20:19 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sue Moynahan

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [John Bertaina](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:16:22 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
John Bertaina

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Erin Redfern](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:15:56 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Erin Redfern

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Elrod Swartz](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:14:31 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Elrod Swartz

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rene Spring](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:13:59 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rene Spring

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Julie Iorns](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:13:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Julie Iorns

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan Korp](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:13:39 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Susan Korp

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Daniel Brower](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:13:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

There should be no further development on natural, open space areas in the San Jose Area, particularly in the Coyote Valley. Precious little open space and wildlife habitat remains. With the housing policies you are advocating, the quality of life in the Bay Area will decline further. You will be destroying the very elements that make the Bay Area the wonderful place to live and that we all enjoy.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Daniel Brower

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [trish mulvey](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:11:34 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
trish mulvey

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Shell Cleave](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:11:34 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Shell Cleave

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Monica Nanez](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:11:31 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Monica Nanez

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lina Swisher](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:10:10 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lina Swisher

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Alan Dwillis](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:09:52 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Alan Dwillis

[REDACTED]  
t

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rita Norton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:07:51 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rita Norton

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Pam North](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:07:19 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units.

No more new building. Other existing parcels could be used, retrofitting vacant or unused buildings can be used. No more building of yet another useless commercial cog or single family homes. And stop selling to foreign interests while you're think about this.

I DON'T support more housing in the Bay Area, UNLESS it is TRULY affordable. Period.

Coyote Valley needs to REMAIN rural forever - is climate change not resonating?

The useless, market rate building MUST stop.

Sincerely,  
Pam North

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Grace Pan](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:05:44 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Grace Pan

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Chip Goldstein](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:04:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Chip Goldstein

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nancy Domnauer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:04:19 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Domnauer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Joseph Richardson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:03:57 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Richardson

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [niki lamb](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:03:46 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
niki lamb

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Barbara Hargrove](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:02:48 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Hargrove

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Greg Peck](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 10:01:43 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

WHAT IS THE CORRECT THING TO DO?

Uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

DO THE BEST TO KEEP OUR OPEN SPACE. STOP REPEATED INFILL OF OPEN SPACE.

Sincerely,  
Greg Peck

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Gail Sredanovic](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:59:08 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built. To reduce homelessness we need housing that is affordable to moderate to very low income workers. The jobs to housing imbalance is so great that nothing will work unless we stop building offices. Building into sprawl far from services is definitely not the answer.

Sincerely,  
Gail Sredanovic

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jennifer Rios](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:59:05 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Rios

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Dar Bertsch](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:56:39 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Dar Bertsch

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Stephen McHenry](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:56:23 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

It is hard to argue with money in America - having more and more acquisitions as well as income for businesses even though we know that it does not bring the answers to all of our problems.

I disagree with your need to build more homes and in rural unincorporated Santa Clara County. We have some 29 county parks out here, 26 open spaces and three or four more as well as the biggest state park in northern California. They are in the vicinity of Coyote Valley where you would like to build

More housing is a bad idea. Residents enjoy their outdoors. Some believe your houses are too costly.

Building thousands of homes in areas without water lines, sewers, power, sometimes even without roads, and far from hospitals, fire stations, police, and government services is simply a bad idea.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

I live in South County.

Sincerely,  
Stephen McHenry

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Charles Ray](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:56:21 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Charles Ray

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lynn Buck](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:56:11 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lynn Buck

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Robert Reese](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:55:13 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

You are not doing enough to provide housing developments in the concentrated job centers of northern Santa Clara and San Mateo County incorporated cities

You instead are requiring a disproportionate amount of urban sprawl development which supposedly ABAG opposes in unincorporated Santa Clara County which affect regional water basin, agricultural and wildlife, and flood mitigate lands in Coyote Valley.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Robert Reese

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Guy Zahller](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:53:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Guy Zahller

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carolyn Straub](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:53:21 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

It is hard to argue with money in America - having more and more acquisitions as well as income for businesses even though we know that it does not bring the answers to all of our problems.

I disagree with your need to build more homes - and in rural, unincorporated Santa Clara County. We have some 29 county parks out here, 26 open spaces and three or four more as well as the biggest state park in northern California. They are in the vicinity of Coyote Valley where you would like to build

More housing is a bad idea. Residents enjoy their outdoors. Some believe your houses are too costly.

Building thousands of homes in areas without water lines, sewers, power, sometimes even without roads, and far from hospitals, fire stations, police, and government services is simply a bad idea.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

I live in South County.

Sincerely,  
Carolyn Straub

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Barbara Ishizaki](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:52:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Ishizaki

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Josephine Coffey](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:52:19 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Josephine Coffey

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nancy Hay](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:50:25 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Hay

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nancy Pointer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:50:13 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Pointer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [James Haskell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:50:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
James Haskell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Stephen Rosenblum](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:48:44 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Stephen Rosenblum

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Walter Taylor](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:48:13 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Walter Taylor

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mark Gion](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:45:48 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Stop the war on open space! Follow an infill program.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mark Gion

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jamie Le](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:45:30 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jamie Le

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Tisa Roland](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:45:10 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Tisa Roland

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Debra Ullmann](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:45:08 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Housing should be allocated to infill developments where infrastructure and jobs already exist. The environmental harm due to increased traffic and depletion of natural resources would be catastrophic. You may build the houses, but who will want to live in them!

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Debra Ullmann

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [David Smernoff](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:44:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

As a long-time advocate for open space protection, restoration and stewardship I am eager to see Coyote Valley preserved as open space, as a wildlife corridor and a climate resilient landscape. Housing should be built in already urbanized areas, near transit and as climate neutral as possible.

This is why I strongly urge you to uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
David Smernoff

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nina Wouk](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:44:35 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Housing needs to be where people need to live. Especially affordable housing needs to be near transportation, stores, etc.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nina Wouk

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Beverly Wright](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:43:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Beverly Wright

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Cindy Stein](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:42:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Cindy Stein  
647 Flaming Star Ave  
Thousand Oaks, Ca 91360  
[cinfish65@yahoo.com](mailto:cinfish65@yahoo.com)

Sincerely,  
Cindy Stein

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Sam Naifeh](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:41:11 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Sam Naifeh

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Holly Thomas](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:41:01 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Holly Thomas

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Aldo Toscano](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:39:51 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Aldo Toscano

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Marcia Nelson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:39:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Marcia Nelson

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [C Ruth](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:39:09 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
C Ruth

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [R.Zierikzee](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:37:51 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Build UP if you must but do not take any more wildlife habitat. Also, please consider the water supply; with climate change induced mega drought, we may not have enough water to survive at our current population levels. Profit isn't everything. Life is much more important. Thank you.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
R. Zierikzee

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mary Gill](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:36:14 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mary Gill

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Alvaro Ruiz](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:35:29 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Alvaro Ruiz

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rick Drain](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:35:26 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I implore you to uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rick Drain

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Craig Britton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:32:37 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Craig Britton

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Elizabeth Guimarin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:31:51 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Guimarin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Allen Royer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:31:13 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Allen Royer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [David Cook](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:31:08 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
David Cook

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Daryl Camarillo](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:29:07 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Daryl Camarillo

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan Breitbard](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:28:30 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Susan Breitbard

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carole Grace](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:26:38 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Over the past 60 years I have watched developers build into prime farmlands, open spaces and far up into the hills. Our regional population has mirrored world population and tripled as we continue to add more and more jobs in this region. At this point, we need to stop sprawling into undeveloped regions, preserve what little open space we still have, and concentrate future development on providing housing in infill areas of existing cities. While I support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Carole Grace

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Tressa Barrows](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:25:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Tressa Barrows

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Justin Ashton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:24:38 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

The vast majority of new housing units in the Bay Area should be infill development near mass transit so that we can fight climate change instead of locking in long commutes in vehicles (California's number one source of climate emissions)

Sincerely,  
Justin Ashton

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nabeel Al-Shamma](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:24:06 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nabeel Al-Shamma

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Edith Keating](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:22:43 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

I am not a NIMBY! I have advocated for housing, and yes, I have even supported and spoken up for ABAG allocations. (This seldom makes me popular...) I want to stay an AGAG supporter. I cannot respect your allocations if they will lead to development of natural rural areas. Climate change is stressing local non-human populations already. Sacrificing more natural land is not the answer. Telling the County to ask cities to take on a bigger allocation is, sorry, laughable.

ABAG must tell urban cities to build up! Don't require building out into unincorporated areas.

Sincerely,  
Edith Keating

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Helen Blain](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:21:18 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Helen Blain

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Gregory Piligian](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:21:06 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Gregory Piligian

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Juan Estrada](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:20:27 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Juan Estrada

[REDACTED]

**From:** [ginsis3@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ginsis3@everyactioncustom.com) [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Date:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:19:57 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Stop the sprawl!

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia King

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mark Betti](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:19:49 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mark Betti

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan Elvira Floran-Bernier](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:18:42 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Susan Elvira Floran-Bernier

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [N.D.Cohn](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:17:54 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
N.D. Cohn

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lisa Munro](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:17:00 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Munro

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Victoria Kojola](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:16:52 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Victoria Kojola

Sincerely,  
Victoria Kojola

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Heather Lattanzi](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:16:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

These drastic increases contradict one of the objectives of RHNA in state law, specifically that of "promoting infill development and socioeconomic equity, the protection of environmental and agricultural resources, the encouragement of efficient development patterns, and the achievement of the region's greenhouse gas reductions targets." It is insane that with climate change, wildfires and severe water shortage, ABAG is planning to push more development in rural areas.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Heather Lattanzi

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rob Rondanini](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:16:42 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rob Rondanini

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [mark anderton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:16:25 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
mark anderton

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Judy Rickard](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:15:57 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Judy Rickard

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Larry Allen](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:15:34 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Larry Allen

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Judith Smith](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:14:48 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Judith Smith

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Leah Halper](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:14:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

As a [REDACTED] resident who has seen so many natural places spoiled by sprawl in the last thirty years, it's a high priority for me to not see sprawl in Coyote Valley. Infill in cities, not virgin development, is the only way forward for our housing needs.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Leah Halper

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Larry Bursch](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:13:05 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Larry Bursch

Sincerely,  
Larry Bursch

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michelle LaRoche](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:12:59 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michelle LaRoche

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kit Odoherty](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:12:44 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kit Odoherty

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nancy Sidebotham](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:12:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Sidebotham

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Erica Stanojevic](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:11:56 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Erica Stanojevic

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Beth Wyman](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:11:19 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Beth Wyman

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Ali Van Zee](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:11:02 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

We need open space! Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Ali Van Zee

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [nancy barnby](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:11:00 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Once housing takes over, we can never replace our open spaces. Therefore, I ask that you please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should NOT go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Let's protect our environment. Please find a way to reallocate the 2000 housing units to an area where they are more suitable.

thank you, nancy barnby

Sincerely,  
nancy barnby

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Elizabeth Bacon](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:10:37 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

My own rural home was almost lost in the 2020 CZU lightning complex fire and putting more families at risk by sprawling further and further into wildlands has suddenly struck me personally as 100% the wrong direction in a changing climate.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Bacon

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jennifer Krenzin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:10:20 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jennifer Krenzin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Freda Hofland](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:10:05 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While I support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, unfeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Freda Hofland

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan Reynolds](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:09:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

First of all, as a reporter, where is the water going to come from? I live by this open space and it's dry as a bone. Reservoirs have been empty for a decade.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Susan Dyer Reynolds

Sincerely,  
Susan Reynolds

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Lisa Owens](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:09:40 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Owens

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Gary Patton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:09:11 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I have been personally involved, through DeAnza Community College, in efforts to protect and preserve the vital habitat of Coyote Valley.

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While I support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Gary Patton

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [David Goll](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:08:53 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
David Goll

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rev.Charlotte Bear](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:08:50 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rev. Charlotte Bear

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Stephen Moffett](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:08:46 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

With the water crisis we are having we do not need any more houses in this specific area. Why not reuse the run down areas in the bay area?

Sincerely,  
Stephen Moffett

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Danielle DeRome](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:07:43 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more and more \*affordable housing\* in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Danielle DeRome

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Rachel Hinojosa](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:07:12 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Hinojosa

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carol Fitting](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:06:47 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

NO Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Carol Fitting

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Glenda Lucas](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:05:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Glenda Lucas

Sincerely,  
Glenda Lucas

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Celina Burback](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:05:18 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Celina Burback

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [MARK RAUSCHER](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:05:03 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
MARK RAUSCHER

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Jordan Briskin](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:05:01 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

It behooves you to uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2,000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1,000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2,000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Jordan Briskin

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Shannon McEntee](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:04:54 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Shannon McEntee

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Barbara Weinstein](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:04:37 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I urge you to uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units.

While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Weinstein

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Thomas LaWer](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:04:30 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Thomas LaWer

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mary Shabbott](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Tuesday, August 24, 2021 9:03:14 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mary Shabbott

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Moises Mena](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Monday, August 23, 2021 1:04:52 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Moises Mena

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [James Pearson](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 11:44:45 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
James Pearson

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Larry Toombs](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Monday, August 30, 2021 2:38:14 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Larry Toombs

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Mariquita West](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Sunday, August 29, 2021 10:26:14 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Mariquita West

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Cheryl Lavery](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Sunday, August 29, 2021 10:16:45 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

For the sake of our children, please do not take a short term view of the problem. We need to keep focus on high density housing and clean environment for sustainable living.

Sincerely,  
Cheryl Lavery

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [John Crowell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Sunday, August 29, 2021 2:22:34 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
John Crowell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Kirsten Holmquist](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Sunday, August 29, 2021 11:28:51 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Kirsten Holmquist

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Denise Louie](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Sunday, August 29, 2021 10:23:08 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Denise Louie

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Patricia Toombs](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Saturday, August 28, 2021 8:04:01 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Patricia Toombs

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [ron valenzuela](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Saturday, August 28, 2021 6:17:06 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
ron valenzuela

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Carol Wexler](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Saturday, August 28, 2021 3:45:41 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Carol Wexler

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Wendell Bridges](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Saturday, August 28, 2021 3:11:23 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Wendell Bridges

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Pam Stearns](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Saturday, August 28, 2021 7:52:11 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

We are not opposed to housing, and , in fact, would like to see zoning changes allowing multi-family housing mixed with non industrial business and single family residential, but we are strongly opposed to further encroachment on rural and wild lands - SPRAWL. Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Pam Stearns

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Tina Andreatta](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 9:40:01 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Tina Andreatta

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Joan MacDonald](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 3:54:59 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Santa Clara County has appealed the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation. I urgently support that appeal. I urge you instead to reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there. A more sensible approach might be to urge outlying cities to increase their housing which would encourage employers to relocate/decentralize their facilities to take advantage of potential workers there. This could discourage long commutes which simply add to air pollution and loss of family time.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Joan MacDonald

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [lesley stansfield](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 2:25:38 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

SPRAWL IS NOT THE ANSWER! Think of alternatives to increase housing in urban areas where it is most efficient. Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
lesley stansfield

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Nicole Corleone](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 10:35:01 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Nicole Corleone

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Linda Hamilton](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 9:08:07 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Linda Hamilton

[REDACTED]



**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [M.K.Russell](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 1:45:52 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
M.K. Russell

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Michelle Oroz](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 1:00:58 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Michelle Oroz

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Thomas Carlino](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Friday, August 27, 2021 1:00:52 AM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Carlino

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Alice Elliott](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 9:15:53 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Alice Elliott

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Andria Ventura](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 3:34:41 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

I am sick of the traffic, pollution, water use and sprawl in the Bay Area without protecting open spaces. Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Andria Ventura

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Susan LeClair](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 3:02:35 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Susan LeClair

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Thomas Lipkis](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Thursday, August 26, 2021 2:10:00 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Thomas Lipkis

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] on behalf of [Philip Gilbert](#)  
**To:** [Regional Housing Need Allocation](#)  
**Subject:** Uphold Santa Clara County's Appeal And Reduce Its RHNA Allocation by 2000 Units  
**Date:** Monday, August 30, 2021 2:55:27 PM

---

\*External Email\*

Dear President Arreguin and ABAG Administrative Committee,

Please uphold Santa Clara County's appeal of the County of Santa Clara 2023-2031 Regional Housing Needs Assessment ("RHNA") Cycle allocation and reduce its allocation by 2000 units. While we support more housing in the Bay Area, that housing should not go on unincorporated lands without urban services. The 1000% increase from the prior cycle is completely unjustified, infeasible, and would cause enormous environmental harm and sprawl if it were somehow done. ABAG should use a proper methodology excluding most of the growth of these areas, not rely on cities to take housing units from county lands that ABAG should not have allocated there.

Thank you for acting to protect the environment and reallocating the 2000 housing units to a place where they are far more suitable and likely to be built.

Sincerely,  
Philip Gilbert

[REDACTED]